

**JACKSON INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR SOLUTIONS TO
THE QUANTIZED KNIZHNIK-ZAMOLODCHIKOV EQUATION**

V. TARASOV* AND A. VARCHENKO**

* Physics Department, St. Petersburg University

** Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina

Dedicated to L. D. Faddeev on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. The quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations associated with the trigonometric R -matrix of the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ type and the rational R -matrix of the $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ type are considered. Jackson integral representations for solutions to these equations are described. Asymptotic solutions to a holonomic system of difference equations are constructed. Relations between the integral representations and the Bethe-ansatz are indicated.

Introduction

The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation (KZ) is a holonomic system of differential equations describing conformal blocks in conformal field theory. This system has very rich mathematical structures [KZ, Koh, D2]. Most of these structures are revealed through integral representations for solutions to the KZ . Solutions are represented as multidimensional hypergeometric integrals over cycles depending on parameters [SV, V1], and, therefore, the KZ is a special type of the Gauss-Manin connection.

Recently, for several different reasons, the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation was quantized [S, FR, IJ]. The quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation (qKZ) is a holonomic system of difference equations. In [S] it is a system of difference equations describing formfactors in quantum field theory. In [FR] it describes matrix elements of intertwining operators for a quantum affine algebra. In [IJ] it is a system of equations for correlation functions of the six-vertex model. It is expected that the qKZ has remarkable mathematical properties as well as the KZ . The existence of integral representations for solutions to the qKZ could be an important supporting argument for such awaitings. Integral representations for solutions might also be useful for applications to the problems where the qKZ were distilled.

The first author was supported by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research.

The second author was supported by NSF Grant DMS-9203929.

* *E-mail:* tarasov@ontl.phys.lgu.spb.su

** *E-mail:* av@math.unc.edu

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

In this work, we describe Jackson integral representations for solutions to the qKZ associated with $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. The Jackson integral are discrete analogs of standard integral. We represent solutions in terms of discrete multidimensional integrals of hypergeometric type (in terms of multidimensional q -hypergeometric functions), and therefore, we show that quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation are quantized Gauss-Manin connection. Jackson integral representations for solutions to the qKZ associated with $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ were described in [M1, M2, V2, R].

In §1 we describe solutions to the qKZ associated with $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$, and in §2 to the qKZ associated with $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$. §3 and §4 contain proofs. In §5 we construct asymptotic solutions to a holonomic system of difference equations, give a new formula for Bethe-ansatz eigenvectors in a tensor product of $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ or $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ modules and indicated connections of the Jackson integral representations with the Bethe-ansatz.

This work was started when the authors visited RIMS in Kyoto. We thank Professor T. Miwa and RIMS for their warm hospitality and stimulating research atmosphere.

1. SOLUTIONS TO THE qKZ . $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ CASE

(1.1) qKZ for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$

The quantum group $U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ is the associative algebra generated by $K_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, K_{N+1}^{\pm 1}$ and $X_1^{\pm}, \dots, X_N^{\pm}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.1.1) \quad & [K_i, K_j] = 0, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \\
& K_i X_i^{\pm} K_i^{-1} = q^{\pm 1} X_i^{\pm}, \\
& K_i X_{i-1}^{\pm} K_i^{-1} = q^{\mp 1} X_{i-1}^{\pm}, \\
& K_i X_j^{\pm} K_i^{-1} = X_j^{\pm} \quad \text{for } j > i \text{ or } j < i - 1, \\
& [X_i^+, X_j^-] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i K_{i+1}^{-1} - K_{i+1} K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\
& [X_i^{\pm}, X_j^{\pm}] = 0 \quad \text{for } |i - j| \neq 1, \\
& (X_i^{\pm})^2 X_j^{\pm} - (q + q^{-1}) X_i^{\pm} X_j^{\pm} X_i^{\pm} + X_j^{\pm} (X_i^{\pm})^2 = 0, \quad \text{for } |i - j| = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here q is a generic complex parameter.

Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Let V be a U_q -module with highest weight Λ , that is, V is generated by one vector $v \in V$ such that

$$(1.1.2) \quad X_i^+ v = 0, \quad K_i v = q^{\Lambda_i} v$$

for all i . V has the weight decomposition

$$(1.1.3) \quad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} V_{\lambda},$$

where

$$(1.1.4) \quad V_\lambda = \{x \in V : K_i x = q^{\Lambda_i + \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i} x \text{ for all } i\}.$$

For any $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ introduce a linear operator

$$(1.1.5) \quad L(\mu) : V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$(1.1.6) \quad L(\mu) x = q^{\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \mu_i (\Lambda_i - \lambda_i + \lambda_{i-1})} x$$

for $x \in V_\lambda$.

Let $\{V(m)\}$ be U_q -modules with highest weights $\{\Lambda(m)\}$ and generating vectors $\{v_m\}$, respectively, $m = 1, \dots, n$. The weight decomposition of representations induces the weight decomposition of the tensor product

$$(1.1.7) \quad V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n) = \bigoplus_{\lambda} (V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n))_{\lambda}$$

where

$$(1.1.8) \quad (V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n))_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\lambda(1) + \dots + \lambda(n) = \lambda} V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}.$$

For any i, j there is the trigonometric R -matrix

$$(1.1.9) \quad R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) : V(i) \otimes V(j) \rightarrow V(i) \otimes V(j)$$

such that

$$(1.1.10) \quad R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) : v_i \otimes v_j \mapsto v_i \otimes v_j,$$

$$R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) R_{V(i)V(k)}(xy) R_{V(j)V(k)}(y) = R_{V(j)V(k)}(y) R_{V(i)V(k)}(xy) R_{V(i)V(j)}(x),$$

[J, JKMO, C], more precisely see in (3.2). The R -matrix preserves the weight decomposition. If

$$R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) = \sum_d R_i^{(d)}(x) \otimes R_j^{(d)}(x),$$

then we let it act on $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ by

$$(1.1.11) \quad \sum_d 1 \otimes \dots \otimes R_i^{(d)}(x) \otimes \dots \otimes R_j^{(d)}(x) \otimes \dots \otimes 1$$

where $R_i^{(d)}(x)$ stands in the i -th factor and $R_j^{(d)}(x)$ in the j -th factor.

Denote by $L_{V(i)}(\mu)$ the linear operator on $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ acting as $L(\mu)$ on the i -th factor and as the identity on all other factors.

Let $p \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q = p^{-\nu}$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$. Let Z_i denote the p -shift operator

$$(1.1.12) \quad Z_i : \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto \Psi(z_1, \dots, pz_i, \dots, z_n).$$

(1.1.13) *The quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation (qKZ) for a $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ -valued function $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is the system of difference equations*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i \Psi &= R_{V(i)V(i-1)}\left(\frac{pz_i}{z_{i-1}}\right) \dots R_{V(i)V(1)}\left(\frac{pz_i}{z_1}\right) \times \\ &\times q^{\alpha_i} L_{V(i)}(\mu) R_{V(n)V(i)}^{-1}\left(\frac{z_n}{z_i}\right) \dots R_{V(i+1)V(i)}^{-1}\left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}\right) \Psi \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here, $p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ are parameters of the equation, see [FR].

In the next sections we give formulas for solutions to the qKZ . Namely, for any $\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we construct a $V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}$ -valued function $w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}$ of certain variables $t_1, t_2, \dots$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in such a way that the $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ -valued function

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(n)} \int w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}(t, z) d_p t$$

is a solution to the qKZ . In this formula we use the notion of the Jackson integral.

(1.2) Jackson Integrals

Discrete analogs of differentiation and integration are given by the formulas

$$(1.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d_p f}{d_p t}(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \frac{f(pt) - f(t)}{p - 1}, \\ \int_0^{\xi\infty} f(t) \frac{d_p t}{t} &= (1 - p) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi p^n). \end{aligned}$$

The last sum is called the Jackson integral along a p -interval $[0, \xi\infty]_p$. There is a p -analog of the Stokes theorem:

$$(1.2.2) \quad \int_0^{\xi\infty} t \frac{d_p f}{d_p t} \frac{d_p t}{t} = 0.$$

The multiple Jackson integral is defined similarly.
 $\mathbb{Z}^k$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^k$: for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, set

$$(1.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T_a : \mathbb{C}^k &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k, \\ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) &\mapsto (\xi_1 p^{a_1}, \dots, \xi_k p^{a_k}). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{Z}^k$ acts on functions on $\mathbb{C}^k$: for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, set

$$(1.2.4) \quad T_a : f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \mapsto f(t_1 p^{a_1}, \dots, t_k p^{a_k}).$$

For an arbitrary $\xi \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$, the $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -orbit of ξ is called a *k-dimensional p-cycle* and denoted by $[0, \xi\infty]_p$. The *Jackson integral* of a function $f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ over a *p-cycle* $[0, \xi\infty]_p$

$$(1.2.5) \quad \int_{[0, \xi\infty]_p} f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \Omega,$$

for $\Omega = (d_p t_1/t_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (d_p t_k/t_k)$, is the sum

$$(1.2.6) \quad (1-p)^k \sum_{-\infty < a_1, \dots, a_k < \infty} f(\xi_1 p^{a_1}, \dots, \xi_k p^{a_k})$$

if it exists. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, we have the Stokes formula

$$(1.2.7) \quad \int_{[0, \xi\infty]_p} T_a(f) \Omega = \int_{[0, \xi\infty]_p} f \Omega.$$

(1.2.8) The difference $T_a(f) \Omega - f \Omega$ will be called a discrete differential.

(1.3) One-Point Function of a Representation with Highest Weight

Let V be a U_q -module with highest weight Λ and generating vector v . For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, we'll define a V -valued rational function $\eta_{\lambda, V}$ of variables $\{u_i(j), y\}$, where $i = 1, \dots, N$, $j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i$.

Let $R(x, y) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ be defined by

$$(1.3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \alpha(x, y) E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} (\beta(x, y) (E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} + E_{ji} \otimes E_{ji}) + \gamma(x, y) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + \bar{\gamma}(x, y) E_{ji} \otimes E_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

where E_{ij} is the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ -matrix with one nonzero entry $(E_{ij})_{ij} = 1$, $\alpha(x, y) = xq - yq^{-1}$, $\beta(x, y) = x - y$, $\gamma(x, y) = x(q - q^{-1})$, $\bar{\gamma}(x, y) = y(q - q^{-1})$, see [J] and references there.

Let $T(u)$ be the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ -matrix with the following entries

$$(1.3.2) \quad T_{ii}(u) = (uK_i - K_i^{-1})/(q - q^{-1}),$$

$$(1.3.3) \quad T_{ij}(u) = uF_{ji}K_j, \quad T_{ji}(u) = K_j^{-1}E_{ij},$$

where $i < j$ and F_{ji} , E_{ij} are defined below:

$$(1.3.4) \quad E_{i,i+1} = X_i^+, \quad F_{i+1,i} = X_i^-,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(1.3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{i,i+p} &= E_{i,i+p-1}E_{i+p-1,i+p} - qE_{i+p-1,i+p}E_{i,i+p-1}, \\ F_{i+p,i} &= F_{i+p,i+1}F_{i+1,i} - q^{-1}F_{i+1,i}F_{i+p,i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, $k = + \dots + \lambda_N$. Consider the tensor product $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes k}$. Enumerate its factors by pairs $(1,1), (1,2), \dots, (1,\lambda_1), (2,1), (2,2), \dots, (2,\lambda_2), \dots, (N,1), \dots, (N,\lambda_N)$. This list defines the lexicographical order on the set of the pairs: $(i,j) < (l,m)$ if (i,j) stands before (l,m) .

For any (i,j) denote by $T^{(i,j)}(u)$ the element

$$(1.3.6) \quad 1 \otimes \dots \otimes T(u) \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes k}$$

where $T(u)$ stands in the (i,j) -th place. Denote by $R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(x, y) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes k}$ the element which acts on $(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes k}$ as $R(x, y)$ on the (i,j) -th and (l,m) -th factors and as identity on all other factors, see (1.1.11).

Set

$$(1.3.7) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)/y) \times \prod_{(i,j) > (l,m)} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)).$$

Here the first product is taken in the lexicographical order on pairs (i,j) defined above. The second product is also taken in the lexicographical order: a factor $R^{(i,j),(l,m)}$ stands on the right side of a factor $R^{(i',j'),(l',m')}$ if $(i,j) < (i',j')$ or $(i,j) = (i',j')$ and $(l,m) < (l',m')$.

Let

$$(1.3.8) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, y) = \sum_{\substack{I=(i_1, \dots, i_k) \\ J=(j_1, \dots, j_k)}} \mathbb{T}_{IJ}(u, y) E_{i_1 j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{i_k j_k}.$$

In other words, $\mathbb{T}_{IJ}$ may be defined by

$$(1.3.9) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, y) e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_k} = \sum_I \mathbb{T}_{IJ}(u, y) e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_{N+1}$ is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Let

$$(1.3.10) \quad I_0 = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{\lambda_N}),$$

$$J_0 = (j_1, \dots, j_k), \quad j_a = i_a + 1.$$

Set

$$(1.3.11) \quad \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left([\lambda_i]_q! \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left((q^{\Lambda_{i+1}} u_i(j)/y - q^{-\Lambda_{i+1}}) u_i(j)/y \right) \times \right.$$

$$\left. \times \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{p=1}^{j-1} \alpha(u_i(j), u_i(p)) \times \prod_{m=i+1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{p=1}^{\lambda_m} \beta(u_m(p), u_i(j)) \right)^{-1} \mathbb{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u, y) v$$

where $[a]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q \dots [a]_q$ and $[b]_q = (q^b - q^{-b})/(q - q^{-1})$. For $\lambda = 0$, set $\eta_{\lambda, V} = v$.

$\eta_{\lambda, V}$ is a V_λ -valued rational function of u, y . We call $\eta_{\lambda, V}$ the *one-point function*. For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the function $\eta_{\lambda, V}$ is a symmetric function of $u_i(1), \dots, u_i(\lambda_i)$, see (3.6.2).

(1.3.12) *Examples of one-point functions for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_3)$.*

$$\lambda = (1, 0) : \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{y q^{\Lambda_2}}{q^{\Lambda_2} u_1(1) - q^{-\Lambda_2} y} X_1^- v$$

$$\lambda = (0, 1) : \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{y q^{\Lambda_3}}{q^{\Lambda_3} u_2(1) - q^{-\Lambda_3} y} X_2^- v$$

$$\lambda = (2, 0) : \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{y^2 q^{2\Lambda_2+1}}{[2]_q (q^{\Lambda_2} u_1(1) - q^{-\Lambda_2} y) (q^{-\Lambda_2} u_1(2) - q^{-\Lambda_2} y)} (X_1^-)^2 v$$

$$\lambda = (0, 2) : \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{y^2 q^{2\Lambda_3+1}}{[2]_q (q^{\Lambda_3} u_2(1) - q^{-\Lambda_3} y) (q^{\Lambda_3} u_2(2) - q^{-\Lambda_3} y)} (X_2^-)^2 v$$

$$\lambda = (1, 1) : \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{y^2 q^{\Lambda_3}}{(u_2(1) - u_1(1)) (q^{\Lambda_3} u_2(1) - q^{-\Lambda_3} y)} \times$$

$$\left(\frac{q^{\Lambda_2} u_2(1) - q^{-\Lambda_2} y}{q^{\Lambda_2} u_1(1) - q^{-\Lambda_2} y} X_2^- X_1^- - q^{-1} X_1^- X_2^- \right) v.$$

(1.3.13) *Example of one-point function for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ and $\lambda = (0, \dots, \lambda_i, \dots, 0)$.*

Let $\lambda_i = k$, then

$$\eta_{\lambda,V}(u, y) = \frac{y^k q^{k\Lambda_{i+1} + k(k-1)/2}}{[k]_q! \prod_{j=1}^k (q^{\Lambda_{i+1}} u_k(j) - q^{-\Lambda_{i+1}} y)} (X_i^-)^k v,$$

cf. [M2, V2].

(1.4) Weight Function of a Tensor Product of Representations with Highest Weight

Let $\{V(m)\}$ be U_q -modules with highest weights $\{\Lambda(m)\}$ and generating vectors $\{v_m\}$, respectively, $m = 1, \dots, n$. For any $\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, we'll define a $V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}$ -valued rational function called the weight function. The weight function will be defined in terms of one-point functions $\eta_{\lambda(1), V(1)}, \dots, \eta_{\lambda(n), V(n)}$ introduced in (1.3). Let

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4.1) \quad \lambda &= \lambda(1) + \dots + \lambda(n), \\ \lambda &= (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N), \\ \lambda(m) &= (\lambda_1(m), \dots, \lambda_N(m)), \quad m = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(1.4.2) \quad l_i(m) = \lambda_i(1) + \dots + \lambda_i(m), \quad l_i(0) = 0.$$

We have $l_i(n) = \lambda_i$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4.3) \quad A(u, v) &= (uq - vq^{-1})/(u - v), \\ B(u, v) &= (uq - vq^{-1})/(uq^{-1} - vq), \\ C_{i,m}(u, v) &= (uq^{\Lambda_i(m)} - vq^{-\Lambda_i(m)})/(uq^{\Lambda_{i+1}(m)} - vq^{-\Lambda_{i+1}(m)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_1(m), \dots, \Lambda_{N+1}(m)$ are coordinates of $\Lambda(m)$.

The one-point function $\eta_{\lambda(m), V(m)}$ is a function of variables $u_1(1), \dots, u_1(\lambda_1(m)), \dots, u_N(1), \dots, u_N(\lambda_N(m))$, y , see (1.3). Let $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(N))$ where $\sigma(i)$ is an element of the symmetric group S_{λ_i} . Define a function $\eta_{\lambda(m), V(m), \sigma}$ as the function $\eta_{\lambda(m), V(m)}$ where y is replaced by z_m and variables $u_i(1), \dots, u_i(\lambda_i(m))$ are replaced by the new variables $\{t_i(\sigma_j(i))\}$, $j = l_i(m-1) + 1, \dots, l_i(m)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Introduce a $V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}$ -valued function of variables $t_1(1), \dots, t_1(\lambda_1), \dots, t_N(1), \dots, t_N(\lambda_N)$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ by the rule

$$(1.4.4) \quad w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n); \sigma} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{l=l_i(j-1)+1}^{l_i(j)} C_{i,m}(t_i(\sigma_l(i)), z_m) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i \\ \sigma_a(i) > \sigma_b(i)}} B(t_i(\sigma_a(i)), t_i(\sigma_b(i))) \times \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{l=l_i(j-1)+1}^{l_i(j)} \prod_{k=l_{i-1}(m-1)+1}^{l_i(m)} A(t_i(\sigma_l(i)), t_{i-1}(\sigma_k(i-1))) \times \\
 &\quad \times \eta_{\lambda(1), V(1), \sigma} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{\lambda(n), V(n), \sigma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define the *weight function* by

$$(1.4.5) \quad w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}(t, z) = \sum_{\sigma} w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n); \sigma}(t, z)$$

where the sum is taken over all $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(N)) \in S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_N}$. Set

$$(1.4.6) \quad w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = \sum_{\lambda(1) + \dots + \lambda(n) = \lambda} w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}(t, z).$$

Example. For $n = 2$, $\lambda(1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\lambda(2) = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \lambda(2), V(2)} &= C_{2,1}(t_2(1), z_1) A(t_2(1), t_1(1)) \times \\
 &\quad \times \eta_{\lambda(1), V(1)}(t_1(1), z_1) \otimes \eta_{\lambda(2), V(2)}(t_2(1), z_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$, $\lambda(1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\lambda(2) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \lambda(2), V(2)} &= C_{1,1}(t_1(2), z_1) \eta_{\lambda(1), V(1)}(t_1(1), z_1) \otimes \eta_{\lambda(2), V(2)}(t_1(2), z_2) + \\
 &\quad + C_{1,1}(t_1(1), z_1) B(t_1(2), t_1(1)) \eta_{\lambda(1), V(1)}(t_1(2), z_1) \otimes \eta_{\lambda(2), V(2)}(t_1(1), z_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we'll define a function Φ of variables $t_1(1), \dots, t_1(\lambda_1), \dots, t_N(1), \dots, t_N(\lambda_N)$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Namely, set

$$(1.4.7) \quad (u)_{\infty} = (u, p)_{\infty} = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^m u).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4.8) \quad \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m} &= \frac{(q^{2\Lambda_{i+1}(m)} t_i(j)/z_m, p)_{\infty}}{(q^{2\Lambda_i(m)} t_i(j)/z_m, p)_{\infty}} \left(\frac{t_i(j)}{z_m} \right)^{\nu(\Lambda_i(m) - \Lambda_{i+1}(m))} \\
 \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)} &= \frac{(q^2 t_i(a)/t_i(b), p)_{\infty}}{(q^{-2} t_i(a)/t_i(b), p)_{\infty}} \left(\frac{t_i(b)}{t_i(a)} \right)^{2\nu},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)} = \frac{(t_{i+1}(b)/t_i(a), p)_\infty}{(q^2 t_{i+1}(b)/t_i(a), p)_\infty} \left(\frac{t_{i+1}(b)}{t_i(a)} \right)^\nu,$$

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Set

$$(1.4.9) \quad \Phi(t, z) = \prod_{m=1}^n z_m^{-\nu(\alpha_m + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \mu_i \Lambda_i(m))} \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (t_i(j))^{-\nu(\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i)} \times \\ \times \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m} \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{a=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{b=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)} \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i} \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)}.$$

(1.5) Jackson Integral Representation of Solutions to the qKZ for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$

Let $\{V(m)\}$ be the U_q -modules considered in (1.4). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$. Set $k = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N$. Consider $\mathbb{C}^k$ with coordinates $t_1(1), \dots, t_1(\lambda_1), \dots, t_N(1), \dots, t_N(\lambda_N)$. Fix a k -dimensional p -cycle $[0, \xi\infty]_p$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Consider the $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ -valued function $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ defined by the formula

$$(1.5.1) \quad \Psi(z) = \int_{[0, \xi\infty]_p} \Phi(t, z) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) \Omega.$$

Here the functions w, Φ are the functions defined in (1.4.6) and (1.4.9), respectively. The integral is the Jackson integral defined in (1.2).

(1.5.2) Theorem. *Assume that the integral in (1.5.1) exists. Then the function Ψ is a solution to the qKZ (1.1.13).*

(1.5.3) *Remark.* More precisely, we prove that for any i

$$\left(Z_i - R_{V(i), V(i-1)} \left(\frac{pz_i}{z_{i-1}} \right) \dots R_{V(i+1), V(i)} \left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i} \right) \right) \Phi(t, z) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) \Omega$$

is a linear combination of discrete differentials, cf. (1.2.8). In this work we will not discuss convergence of the Jackson integrals.

Theorem (1.5.2) is proved in §4.

2. SOLUTIONS TO THE qKZ , $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ CASE

In this section we describe solutions to the qKZ associated with $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$. Constructions are completely parallel to the quantum group case described in Section 1.

(2.1) qKZ for $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$

$\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ is the Lie algebra generated by $k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}, X_1^\pm, \dots, X_N^\pm$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1.1) \quad & [k_i, k_j] = 0, \\
 & [k_i, X_i^\pm] = \pm X_i^\pm, \quad [k_i, X_{i-1}^\pm] = \mp X_{i-1}^\pm, \\
 & [k_i, X_j^\pm] = 0 \quad \text{for } j > i \text{ or for } j < i-1, \\
 & [X_i^+, X_j^-] = \delta_{ij}(k_i - k_{i+1}), \\
 & [X_i^\pm, X_j^\pm] = 0 \quad \text{for } |i-j| \neq 1, \\
 & [X_i^\pm, [X_i^\pm, X_j^\pm]] = 0 \quad \text{for } |i-j| = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Let V be a $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ module with highest weight Λ , that is, V is generated by a vector $v \in V$ such that

$$X_i^+ v = 0, \quad k_i v = \Lambda_i v.$$

V has the weight decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} V_\lambda$$

where $V_\lambda = \{x \in V : k_i x = (\Lambda_i + \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i)x \text{ for all } i\}$.

For any $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ introduce a linear operator

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1.2) \quad & L(\mu) : V \rightarrow V, \\
 & x \mapsto \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \mu_i (\Lambda_i + \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i)\right) x
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in V_\lambda$.

Let $\{V(m)\}$ be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ modules with highest weights $\{\Lambda(m)\}$ and generating vectors $\{v_m\}$, respectively, $m = 1, \dots, n$. For any i, j , there is the rational R -matrix

$$(2.1.3) \quad R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) : V(i) \otimes V(j) \rightarrow V(i) \otimes V(j)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1.4) \quad & R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) : v_i \otimes v_j \mapsto v_i \otimes v_j, \\
 & R_{V(i)V(j)}(x) R_{V(i)V(k)}(x+y) R_{V(j)V(k)}(y) = R_{V(j)V(k)}(y) R_{V(i)V(k)}(x+y) R_{V(i)V(j)}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

[KRS, JKMO, C], more precisely, see in (3.7).

Let $p \in \mathbb{C}$ and let Z_i denote the p -shift operator

$$(2.1.5) \quad Z_i : \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_i + p, \dots, z_n).$$

(2.1.6) The quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation for a $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ -valued function $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is the system of difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i \Psi = & R_{V(i)V(i-1)}(z_i - z_{i-1} + p) \dots R_{V(i)V(1)}(z_i - z_1 + p) \times \\ & \times \exp(\alpha_i) L_{V(i)}(\mu) R_{V(n)V(i)}^{-1}(z_n - z_i) \dots R_{V(i+1)V(i)}^{-1}(z_{i+1} - z_i) \Psi \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ are parameters of the equation.

In the next section, we give formulas for solutions to the qKZ .

(2.2) Additive Version of Jackson Integrals

$\mathbb{Z}^k$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^k$: for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, set

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_a : \mathbb{C}^k &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k, \\ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) &\mapsto (\xi_1 + a_1 p, \dots, \xi_k + a_k p), \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{Z}^k$ acts on functions on $\mathbb{C}^k$: for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ set

$$(2.2.2) \quad Q_a : f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \mapsto f(t_1 + a_1 p, \dots, t_k + a_k p).$$

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^k$, the $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -orbit of ξ is called an *additive k -dimensional p -cycle* and denoted by $[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p$. The *additive Jackson integral* of a function $f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ over a p -cycle $[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p$ is the sum

$$(2.2.3) \quad \int_{[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p} f(t_1, \dots, t_k) d_p t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_p t_k = p^k \sum_{-\infty < a_1, \dots, a_k < \infty} f(\xi_1 + a_1 p, \dots, \xi_k + a_k p).$$

if it exists. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, we have the Stokes formula

$$(2.2.4) \quad \int_{[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p} Q_a f d_p t = \int_{[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p} f d_p t.$$

(2.2.5) The difference $Q(a)f d_p t - f d_p t$ will be called a discrete differential.

(2.3) One-Point Function of a Representation with Highest Weight

Let V be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ module with highest weight Λ and generating vector v . Let $R(x, y) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ be defined by (1.3.1) where $\alpha(x, y) = x - y + 1$, $\beta(x, y) = x - y$, $\gamma(x, y) = \bar{\gamma}(x, y) = 1$, $[Y]$.

Let $T(u)$ be the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix with the following entries:

$$(2.3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{ii}(u) &= u + k_i, \\ T_{ij}(u) &= F_{ji}, \quad T_{ji}(u) = E_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i < j$ and F_{ij} , E_{ij} are defined below:

$$(2.3.2) \quad E_{i,i+1} = X_i^+, \quad F_{i+1,i} = X_i^-,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(2.3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{i,i+p} &= [E_{i,i+p-1}, E_{i+p-1,i+p}], \\ F_{i+p,i} &= [F_{i+p,i+1}, F_{i+1,i}]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, $k = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N$. Set

$$(2.3.4) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j) - y) \times \prod_{(i,j) < (l,m)} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)),$$

where the products are taken in the same order as in (1.3.7). Set

$$(2.3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_{\lambda,V}(u, y) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\lambda_i! \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (u_i(j) + \Lambda_{i+1} - y) \times \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{p=1}^{j-1} \alpha(u_i(j), u_i(p)) \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{m=i+1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{p=1}^{\lambda_m} \beta(u_m(p), u_i(j)) \Big)^{-1} \mathbb{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u, y) v. \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = 0$, set $\eta_{\lambda,V} = v$.

$\eta_{\lambda,V}$ is a function of u, y with values in V_λ . For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the function $\eta_{\lambda,V}$ is a symmetric function of $u_i(1), \dots, u_i(\lambda_i)$, cf. (3.6.2). $\eta_{\lambda,V}$ is called the *one-point function*.

(2.3.6) *Examples of one-point functions for $\mathfrak{gl}_3$.*

$$\lambda = (1, 0): \quad \eta_{\lambda,V}(u, y) = \frac{1}{u_1(1) + \Lambda_2 - y} X_1^- v.$$

$$\lambda = (0, 1): \quad \eta_{\lambda,V}(u, y) = \frac{1}{u_2(1) + \Lambda_3 - y} X_2^- v.$$

$$\lambda = (2, 0): \quad \eta_{\lambda,V}(u, y) = \frac{1/2}{(u_1(1) + \Lambda_2 - y)(u_1(2) + \Lambda_2 - y)} (X_1^-)^2 v.$$

$$\lambda = (0, 2): \quad \eta_{\lambda,V}(u, y) = \frac{1/2}{(u_2(1) + \Lambda_3 - y)(u_2(2) + \Lambda_3 - y)} (X_2^-)^2 v.$$

$$\lambda = (1, 1) : \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{1}{(u_2(1) - u_1(1))(u_2(1) + \Lambda_3 - y)} \times \left(\frac{u_2(1) + \Lambda_2 - y}{u_1(1) + \Lambda_2 - y} X_2^- X_1^- - X_1^- X_2^- \right) v.$$

(2.3.7) *Example of a one-point function for $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ and $\lambda = (0, \dots, \lambda_i, \dots, 0)$.*

Let $\lambda_i = k$, then

$$\eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{u_k(j) + \Lambda_{i+1} - y} (X_i^-)^k v.$$

(2.4) Weight Function of a Tensor Product of Representations

Let $\{V(m)\}$ be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ module with highest weights $\{\Lambda(m)\}$ and generating vectors $\{v_m\}$, respectively, $m = 1, \dots, n$. Set

$$(2.4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A(u, v) &= \frac{u - v + 1}{u - v}, \\ B(u, v) &= \frac{u - v + 1}{u - v - 1}, \\ C_{i, m}(u, v) &= \frac{u - v + \Lambda_i(m)}{u - v + \Lambda_{i+1}(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, define a function $w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}(t, z)$ by formula (1.4.5). $w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}(t, z)$ is a $V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}$ -valued function of variables $\{t_i(j), z_m\}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i(1) + \dots + \lambda_i(n)$, $m = 1, \dots, n$. Define the weight function $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ by formula (1.4.6).

Now we'll define a function $\Phi(t, z)$ which is an additive analog of the function Φ defined in (1.4). Set

$$(2.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m} &= \frac{\Gamma((t_i(j) - z_m + \Lambda_i(m))/p)}{\Gamma((t_i(j) - z_m + \Lambda_{i+1}(m))/p)}, \\ \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)} &= \frac{\Gamma((t_i(a) - t_i(b) - 1)/p)}{\Gamma((t_i(a) - t_i(b) + 1)/p)}, \\ \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)} &= \frac{\Gamma((t_{i+1}(b) - t_i(a) + 1)/p)}{\Gamma((t_{i+1}(b) - t_i(a))/p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is the gamma-function. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4.3) \quad \Phi(t, z) &= \prod_{m=1}^n \exp\left(z_m\left(\alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \mu_i \Lambda_i(m)\right)/p\right) \times \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \exp\left(t_i(j)(\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i)/p\right) \times \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m} \times \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{a=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{b=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)} \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i} \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2.5) Integral Representation of Solutions to the qKZ for $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$

Let $\{V(m)\}$ be the $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ moqules considered in (2.4). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$. Set $k = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N$. Consider $\mathbb{C}^k$ with coordinates $\{t_i(j), z_m\}$. Fix an additive k -dimensional p -cycle $[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Consider the $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ -valued function $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ defined by the formula

$$(2.5.1) \quad \Psi(z) = \int_{[-\infty, \xi\infty]_p} \Phi(t, z) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) d_p t_1(1) \wedge \dots \wedge d_p t_k(\lambda_k).$$

Here functions Φ, w are the functions defined in (2.4). The integral is the additive Jackson integral defined in (2.2).

(2.5.2) Theorem. *Assume that the integral in (2.5.1) exists. Then the function Ψ is a solution to the qKZ (2.1.6).*

(2.5.3) *Remark.* More precisely, we prove that for any i

$$(Z_i - R_{V(i), V(i-1)}(z_i - z_{i-1} + p) \dots R_{V(i-1), V(i)}^{-1}(z_{i+1} - z_i)) \Phi(t, z) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) d_p t$$

is a linear combination of discrete differentials.

The proof of Theorem (2.5.2) is completely analogous to the proof of Theorem (1.5.2).

Consider $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ as a $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ moqule.

(2.5.4) Lemma. *Assume that integral in (2.5.1) exist. Then for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ the equality $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$ implies that $X_i^- \Psi(z)$ is a solution to qKZ (2.1.6) and $X_i^+ \Psi(z) = 0$.*

Proof. It is obvious that X_i^- commutes with the operator in the right hand side of qKZ (2.1.6) if $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$. Then the first equality simply follows from Theorem (2.5.2). The proof of the second one will be given elsewhere.

(2.5.5) *Remark.* If $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{N+1}$ then $\Psi(z)$ is a highest weight vector of an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ submodule in $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$.

3. R -MATRIX PROPERTY OF WEIGHT FUNCTIONS

(3.1) Weight Functions of the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ Case

In this section we prove the R -matrix property for the weight functions $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ introduced in (1.4.6).

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ highest weight modules, $R_{V(i), V(j)}$ the trigonometric R -matrix (1.1.10), (1.1.11), (3.2.14). For $i = 1, \dots, N$ let

$$P_i : V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(i+1) \otimes V(i) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n) \rightarrow V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n),$$

$$x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i+1} \otimes x_i \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \mapsto x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$$

be the permutation of factors and $\tilde{z}_i = (z_1, \dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots, z_n)$.

(3.1.1) Theorem. *We have*

$$R_{V(i), V(i+1)}(z_i/z_{i+1}) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = P_i w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(i+1), V(i), \dots, V(n)}(t, \tilde{z}_i).$$

The theorem will be proved in (3.6.9).

(3.2) Quantum Yangian

The R -matrix $R(x, y)$ defined by (1.3.1) satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation

$$(3.2.1) \quad R^{(1,2)}(x_1, x_2) R^{(1,3)}(x_1, x_3) R^{(2,3)}(x_2, x_3) =$$

$$\times R^{(2,3)}(x_2, x_3) R^{(1,3)}(x_1, x_3) R^{(1,2)}(x_1, x_2).$$

The *quantum Yangian* $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ is the associative algebra generated by elements T_{ij}^s , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$, $s = 0, 1, \dots$ subject to the relations

$$(3.2.2) \quad T_{ij}^0 = 0 \text{ for } i > j,$$

$$(3.2.3) \quad T_{ii}^0 \text{ are invertible,}$$

$$(3.2.4) \quad R(x, y) T^{(1)}(x) T^{(2)}(y) = T^{(2)}(y) T^{(1)}(x) R(x, y)$$

where $T^{(1)}(x) = T(x) \otimes 1$, $T^{(2)}(y) = 1 \otimes T(y)$, and $T(x)$ is the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ -matrix with entries

$$T_{ij}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_{ij}^s x^{1-s}.$$

The quantum Yangian is isomorphic to the Borel subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{N+1})$ [RS, DF]. It is a trigonometric R -algebra of infinite level in the sense of [C].

The generators T_{ij}^s for $i, j = 2, \dots, N+1$ obey exactly the same relations as the generators of $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Denote by

$$(3.2.5) \quad \delta : Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \rightarrow Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}),$$

$$T_{ij}^s \mapsto T_{i+1, j+1}^s,$$

the corresponding embedding. Relations (3.2.4) imply that the map

$$(3.2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_y : Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) &\rightarrow Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})[y, y^{-1}], \\ T_{ij}^s &\mapsto y^{s+j-i-1} T_{ij}^s, \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding of algebras. Moreover, by virtue of (3.2.1) we have a homomorphism

$$(3.2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_y : Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) &\rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})[y], \\ T(x) &\mapsto R(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

The quantum Yangian $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ admits a natural coproduct

$$(3.2.8) \quad \Delta : T_{ij}(x) \mapsto x^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} T_{ik}(x) \otimes T_{kj}(x).$$

Let $\Delta^{(m)}$ be the m -iterated coproduct ($\Delta^{(0)} = \text{id}$, $\Delta^{(1)} = \Delta$). Consider the natural action of the symmetric group S_{m+1} on $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})^{\otimes(m+1)}$:

$$\sigma(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{m+1}) = X_{\sigma_1} \otimes \dots \otimes X_{\sigma_{m+1}}.$$

Set $\Delta^{(m),\sigma} = \sigma \circ \Delta^{(m)}$.

Let $N_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ be the left ideal in $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ generated by all T_{ij}^s for $i > j$. Set

$$(3.2.9) \quad V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) = Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) / N_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}).$$

We will use the same letter for both an element of $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ and its projection in $V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. The symbol $\approx$ will be used if an equality takes place in $V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. One can check that $N_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ is a two-sided coideal in $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. Hence, the coproduct Δ induces a coproduct

$$V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \rightarrow V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \otimes V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}).$$

The embedding δ , the map ρ_y , and the coproduct Δ have the properties:

$$(3.2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta(X) &\approx (\Delta \circ \delta)(X), \\ \rho_y(X) &= (\rho_y \circ \delta)(X)|_{\mathbb{C}^N}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, here $\mathbb{C}^N$ is supposed to be the span of the last N canonical basic vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, ρ_y , δ and Δ in the left hand sides are related to $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, and ρ_y , δ and Δ in the right hand sides to $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$.

There exists a homomorphism

$$(3.2.11) \quad \varphi : Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$$

defined by formulas (1.3.2) and (1.3.3). Set

$$(3.2.12) \quad \varphi_y = \varphi \circ \theta_y : Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})[y, y^{-1}].$$

Let V_1 and V_2 be $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ highest weight modules with generating vectors v_1 and v_2 , respectively. There exists a linear map

$$(3.2.13) \quad R_{V_1, V_2}(x) : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2$$

such that

$$(3.2.14) \quad R_{V_1, V_2}(x/y) (\varphi_x \otimes \varphi_y) \circ \Delta(X) = (\varphi_x \otimes \varphi_y) \circ \Delta'(X) R_{V_1, V_2}(x/y)$$

for any $X \in Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ and

$$(3.2.15) \quad R_{V_1, V_2}(x) v_1 \otimes v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2,$$

see [C]. Here $\Delta' = P \circ \Delta$ where P is the permutation of factors. Moreover, $R_{V_1, V_2}(x)$ satisfies the YB equation (1.1.10) and

$$(3.2.16) \quad R_{V_2, V_1}^{-1}(x^{-1}) = P_{V_1, V_2} R_{V_1, V_2}(x) P_{V_2, V_1}$$

where $P_{V_i, V_j} : V_i \otimes V_j \rightarrow V_j \otimes V_i$ is the permutation.

This R-matrix is used in the qKZ (1.1.13).

(3.2.17) *Remark.* Properties (3.2.14) and (3.2.15) uniquely define the R -matrix $R_{V_1, V_2}(x)$ for irreducible modules V_1 and V_2 .

(3.3) Function $F_\lambda(u)$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, define $\mathbb{T}(u, 1)$ and $\mathbb{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u, 1)$ by formulas (1.3.7)–(1.3.10).

(3.3.1) Lemma. *There exists a unique formal Laurent series $F_\lambda(u)$ in variables $\{u_i(j)\}$ with a finite number of positive powers in each variable, such that*

$$\mathbb{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u, 1) = F_\lambda(u) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j>m} \alpha(u_i(j), u_i(m)).$$

Proof. Using (3.2.1), we may rewrite (1.3.7) as follows:

$$(3.3.2) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) \times \mathcal{R}(u) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j>m} R^{(i,j),(i,m)}(u_i(j), u_i(m)),$$

where $\mathcal{R}(u)$ is a polynomial matrix in $\{u_i(j)\}$ and the last product is in the lexicographical order. For example, for $\lambda = (2, 2, 0, \dots, 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R^{(2,2),(2,1)}(u_2(2), u_2(1)) R^{(2,2),(1,2)}(u_2(2), u_1(2)) R^{(2,2),(1,1)}(u_2(2), u_1(1)) \times \\ & R^{(2,1),(1,2)}(u_2(1), u_1(2)) R^{(2,1),(1,1)}(u_2(1), u_1(1)) R^{(1,2),(1,1)}(u_1(2), u_1(1)) = \\ & = (R^{(2,1),(1,2)}(u_2(1), u_1(2)) R^{(2,2),(1,2)}(u_2(2), u_1(2)) R^{(2,1),(1,1)}(u_2(1), u_1(1)) \times \\ & \times R^{(2,2),(1,1)}(u_2(2), u_1(1))) \cdot R^{(2,2),(2,1)}(u_2(2), u_2(1)) R^{(1,2),(1,1)}(u_1(2), u_1(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $J_0 = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3.3) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_k} &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) \times \mathcal{R}(u) e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_k} \times \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j>m} \alpha(u_i(j), u_i(m)), \end{aligned}$$

since $R(x, y) e_j \otimes e_j = \alpha(x, y) e_j \otimes e_j$. This proves the lemma.

(3.3.4) Theorem. *For any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the function $F_\lambda(u)$ is a symmetric function of $u_i(1), \dots, u_i(\lambda_i)$.*

Proof. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, \lambda_i - 1\}$. Using (3.2.1) we may write

$$(3.3.4) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) = \mathcal{T}(u) R^{(i,j+1),(i,j)}(u_i(j+1), u_i(j))$$

where $\mathcal{T}(u)$ is $\prod_{l=1}^N \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda_l} T^{l,m}(u_l(m))$ multiplied by some product of R -matrices. Using (3.2.1) and (3.2.4), we may write

$$(3.3.5) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) = R^{(i,j+1),(i,j)}(u_i(j+1), u_i(j)) \overline{\mathcal{T}}(u)$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(u)$ is a suitable matrix.

Let P be the permutation in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$: $P(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$. Let $\mathcal{T}(\bar{u})$ be the function obtained from the function $\mathcal{T}(u)$ by interchanging $u_i(j)$ and $u_i(j+1)$.

(3.3.6) Lemma. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(u) = P^{(i,j+1),(i,j)} \mathcal{T}(\bar{u}) P^{(i,j+1),(i,j)}$.

Proof. For example, for $\lambda = (1, 2, 1)$ and $i = 2, j = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(u, 1) &= T^{(1,1)}(u_1(1)) T^{(2,1)}(u_2(1)) T^{(2,2)}(u_2(2)) T^{(3,1)}(u_3(1)) \times \\ &\times R^{(3,1),(2,2)}(u_3(1), u_2(2)) R^{(3,1),(2,1)}(u_3(1), u_2(1)) R^{(3,1),(1,1)}(u_3(1), u_1(1)) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times R^{(2,2),(2,1)}(u_2(2), u_2(1)) R^{(2,2),(1,1)}(u_2(2), u_1(1)) R^{(2,1),(1,1)}(u_2(1), u_1(1)), \\
 \mathcal{T}(u) = & T^{(1,1)}(u_1(1)) T^{(2,1)}(u_2(1)) T^{(2,2)}(u_2(2)) T^{(3,1)}(u_3(1)) \times \\
 & \times R^{(3,1),(2,2)}(u_3(1), u_2(2)) R^{(3,1),(2,1)}(u_3(1), u_2(1)) R^{(3,1),(1,1)}(u_3(1), u_1(1)) \times \\
 & \times R^{(2,1),(1,1)}(u_2(1), u_1(1)) R^{(2,2),(1,1)}(u_2(2), u_1(1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The general proof can be done easily by induction on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$.

By (3.3.4) and (3.3.5), we have

$$\mathbb{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u, 1) = \alpha(u_i(j+1), u_i(j)) \mathcal{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u) = \alpha(u_i(j+1), u_i(j)) \mathcal{T}_{I_0 J_0}(\bar{u}),$$

hence $F_\lambda(u)$ is symmetric with respect to the permutation of $u_i(j)$ and $u_i(j+1)$. The theorem is proved.

(3.4) Inductive Definition of $F_\lambda(u)$

We give an inductive definition for $F_\lambda(u)$ which comes from the nested Bethe-ansatz [KR].

Consider the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ -matrix $T(x)$ as a 2×2 block matrix

$$T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}(x) & K(x) \\ L(x) & M(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $K(x)$ is a row of length N , $L(x)$ is a column of length N , and $M(x)$ is an $N \times N$ -matrix. We will consider $K(x)$ as a linear map

$$K(x) : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow xY_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})[[x^{-1}]].$$

As usual set $K^{(i)}(x) = 1 \otimes \dots \otimes K(x) \otimes \dots \otimes 1$, where $K(x)$ stands in the i -th place. The matrix $M(x)$ is the image of the canonical generators of $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ under the embedding δ , see (3.2.5).

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, define $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}$, such that $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \lambda_{i+1}$, and set $\tilde{u}_i(j) = u_{i+1}(j)$. Consider $F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})$ defined in $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Set

$$(3.4.1) \quad \tilde{F}_\lambda(u) = (\delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}) \circ \Delta^{(\lambda_1), \sigma}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u}))$$

where $\sigma(1, 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + 1) = (1, \lambda_1 + 1, \dots, 2)$. The coproduct Δ , (3.2.8), and the map ρ , (3.2.7), are taken for the smaller quantum Yangian $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. $\tilde{F}_\lambda(u)$ is a matrix acting in $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes \lambda_1}$ with $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ -valued entries.

Let $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ be the first basic vector in $\mathbb{C}^N$.

(3.4.2) Theorem.

$$F_\lambda(u) \approx K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \dots K^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) (\tilde{F}_\lambda(u) e \otimes \dots \otimes e) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_1}(j).$$

Proof. Rewrite $\mathbb{T}(u, 1)$ as follows:

$$(3.4.3) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} T^{(1,j)}(u_1(j)) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) \times \\ \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j) > (l,m) \\ l \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)) \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j),m \\ i \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(1,m)}(u_i(j), u_1(m)) \times \\ \times \prod_{j>m} R^{(1,j),(1,m)}(u_1(j), u_1(m)).$$

Here we use the commutativity of R -matrices without common superscripts. Applying (3.2.1), we may rewrite (3.4.3) as

$$(3.4.4) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} T^{(1,j)}(u_1(j)) \times \\ \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left(T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) R^{(i,j),(1,\lambda_1)}(u_i(j), u_1(\lambda_1)) \dots R^{(i,j),(1,1)}(u_i(j), u_1(1)) \right) \times \\ \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j) > (l,m) \\ l \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)) \times \prod_{j>m} R^{(1,j),(1,m)}(u_1(j), u_1(m)).$$

For example, for $\lambda = (1, 3)$ we have

$$R^{(2,3),(2,2)}(u_2(3), u_2(2)) R^{(2,3),(2,1)}(u_2(3), u_2(1)) R^{(2,3),(1,1)}(u_2(3), u_1(1)) \times \\ \times R^{(2,2),(2,1)}(u_2(2), u_2(1)) R^{(2,2),(1,1)}(u_2(2), u_1(1)) R^{(2,1),(1,1)}(u_2(1), u_1(1)) = \\ = (R^{(2,3),(2,2)} R^{(2,3),(2,1)} R^{(2,2),(2,1)}) (R^{(2,3),(1,1)} R^{(2,2),(1,1)} R^{(2,1),(1,1)}) = \\ = R^{(2,1),(1,1)} R^{(2,2),(1,1)} R^{(2,3),(1,1)} (R^{(2,3),(2,2)} R^{(2,3),(2,1)} R^{(2,2),(2,1)}).$$

By the definition of ρ_y in (3.2.7), we rewrite (3.4.4) as

$$(3.4.5) \quad \mathbb{T}(u, 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(1,j)}(u_1(j)) \times \left(\text{id} \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1,1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(1,\lambda_1)} \right) \circ$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{(\lambda_1), \sigma} & \left(\prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j) > (l,m) \\ l \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)) \right) \times \\ & \times \prod_{j>m} R^{(1,j),(1,m)}(u_1(j), u_1(m)) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_1}(j), \end{aligned}$$

where the superscript for $\rho_{u_1(j)}^{(1,j)}$ indicates the target space. Introduce

$$I_1 = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\lambda_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{\lambda_N})$$

so that $I_0 = (I_1, I_2)$, and similarly for $J_0 = (J_1, J_2)$. Then, according to (3.4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4.6) \quad \mathbb{T}_{I_0 J_0}(u, 1) &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(1,j)}(u_1(j)) \times \left(\text{id} \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1,1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(1,\lambda_1)} \right) \circ \right. \\ & \left. \Delta^{(\lambda_1), \sigma} \left(\left[\prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j) > (l,m) \\ l \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)) \right]_{I_2 J_2} \right) \right]_{I_1 J_1} \times \\ & \times \prod_{j>m} \alpha(u_1(j), u_1(m)) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_1}(j). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the explicit formula for the R -matrix in (1.3.1),

$$(3.4.7) \quad \left[\prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} T^{(i,j)}(u_i(j)) \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j) > (l,m) \\ l \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(u_i(j), u_l(m)) \right]_{I_2 J_2} \in \delta(Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N))$$

and only the part of the R -matrix corresponding to $\delta(Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N))$ is employed in this entry. Namely, this entry is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4.8) \quad & \delta \left(\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{\lambda}_i} T^{(i,j)}(\tilde{u}_i(j)) \times \prod_{\substack{(i,j) > (l,m) \\ l \geq 2}} R^{(i,j),(l,m)}(\tilde{u}_i(j), \tilde{u}_l(m)) \right]_{I_2, J_2} \right) = \\ & = \delta(\mathbb{T}_{I_2, J_2}(\tilde{u}, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

where $T^{(i,j)}$, $R^{(i,j),(l,m)}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{I_2, J_2}$ are now related to $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Now the theorem follows from (3.4.6)–(3.4.8), (3.4.1) and (3.2.10):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4.9) \quad F_\lambda(u) &\times \left(\prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_1}(j) \right)^{-1} = \\
 &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} T^{(i,j)}(u_1(j)) \times \left(\text{id} \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1,1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(1,\lambda_1)} \right) \circ \Delta^{(\lambda_1), \sigma} \circ \delta(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) \right]_{I_1 J_1} \approx \\
 &\approx \sum_I \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} T^{(1,j)}(u_1(j)) \right]_{I_1 I} \times \left[(\delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}) \circ \Delta^{(\lambda_1), \sigma}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) \right]_{I J_1} = \\
 &= K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \dots K^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) (\tilde{F}_\lambda(u) e \otimes \dots \otimes e).
 \end{aligned}$$

(3.5) Comultiplication Properties of $F_\lambda(u)$

(3.5.1) Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta F_{\lambda(u)} &\approx \sum_{\Gamma(1) \cup \Gamma(2)} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_i(2)}} A(u_i(j), u_i(l)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_{i+1}(2)}} A(u_{i+1}(l), u_i(j)) \times \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{m=i+1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_m(2)}} \beta(u_m(l), u_i(j)) \times \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_m(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_i(2)}} \beta(u_m(j), u_i(l)) \times \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{-1}(j) \times F_{\lambda(1)}(u^{(1)}) \otimes F_{\lambda(2)}(u^{(2)}) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_i(2)}} T_{ii}(u_i(l)) \otimes T_{i+1, i+1}(u_i(j)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the sum is taken over all partitions of the set $\{(i, j) : i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ into disjoint subsets $\Gamma(1)$ and $\Gamma(2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_i(l) &= \Gamma(l) \cap \{(i, j) : j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}, & \lambda_i(l) &= \#(\Gamma_i(l)), \\
 u^{(l)} &= \{u_i(j) : (i, j) \in \Gamma(l)\}, & A(x, y) &= \alpha(x, y) / \beta(x, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. One can check that the last product $\prod \prod T_{ii}(u_i(l)) \otimes T_{i+1, i+1}(u_i(j))$ does not depend on ordering of factors as an element of $V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \otimes V_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$.

Proof of Theorem (3.5.1). We will use an induction on N . The case $N = 0$ is trivial, and the case $N = 1$ is known, [IK]. The general case is similar to the $N = 1$ case. Up to the end of this proof, $R(x, y)$ is the $N^2 \times N^2$ -matrix corresponding to $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$.

We will use the coproduct formula for $K(x)$

$$(3.5.2) \quad \Delta(K(x)) = x^{-1}(T_{11}(x) \otimes K(x) + K(x) \otimes M(x))$$

where the matrix product in the second term is supposed, and will use the commutation relations

$$(3.5.3) \quad T_{11}(x)K(y) = A(y, x)K(y)T_{11}(x) - \frac{\gamma(y, x)}{\beta(y, x)}K(x)T_{11}(y),$$

$$(3.5.4) \quad M^{(1)}(x)K^{(2)}(y) = K^{(2)}(y)M^{(1)}(x)R(x, y)/\beta(x, y) - \frac{\bar{\gamma}(x, y)}{\beta(x, y)}K^{(2)}(x)M^{(1)}(y)P$$

where P is the permutation of factors in $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$.

Take $F_\lambda(u)$ in the form of (3.4.2). Compute $\Delta(F_\lambda(u))$. Substitute in the obtained expression formula (3.5.2) for $\Delta(K^{(j)}(u_1(j)))$. Pushing factors $T_{11}(u_1(j))$ and $M^{(j)}(u_1(j))$ to the right, using (3.5.3) and (3.5.4), we will have

$$(3.5.5) \quad \Delta(F_{\lambda(u)}) \approx \sum K^{(\mu_{l+1})}(v(l+1)) \dots K^{(\mu_{\lambda_1})}(v(\lambda_1)) \otimes K^{(\mu_1)}(v(1)) \dots K^{(\mu_l)}(v(l)) \\ \left(T_{11}(w(1)) \dots T_{11}(w(l)) \otimes M^{(\mu_{l+1})}(w(l+1)) \dots M^{(\mu_{\lambda_1})}(w(\lambda_1)) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \Phi_{v(1), \dots, v(\lambda_1), w(1), \dots, w(\lambda_1)}^{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\lambda_1}}(u) (\Delta(\tilde{F}_\lambda(u)) e \otimes \dots \otimes e) \right).$$

Here Φ is some matrix constructed from the functions $\alpha(x, y)$, $\beta(x, y)$, $\gamma(x, y)$, and $\bar{\gamma}(x, y)$. Arguments $(w(1), \dots, w(l), v(\lambda+1), \dots, v(\lambda_1))$ and $(v(1), \dots, v(l), w(l+1), \dots, w(\lambda_1))$ are permutations of $(u_1(1), \dots, u_1(\lambda_1))$.

Say that two terms of this sum corresponding to $v(1), \dots, v(\lambda_1), w(1), \dots, w(\lambda_1), \mu$ and $v'(1), \dots, v'(\lambda_1), w'(1), \dots, w'(\lambda_1), \mu'$ are *similar* if $\{v(1), \dots, v(l)\} = \{v'(1), \dots, v'(l)\}$ and $\{v(l+1), \dots, v(\lambda_1)\} = \{v'(l+1), \dots, v'(\lambda_1)\}$ as unordered sequences. Denote the sum of all similar terms corresponding to given $v(I) = (v(1), \dots, v(l))$ and $v(II) = (v(l+1), \dots, v(\lambda_1))$ by $G(v(I), v(II))$. Therefore, (3.5.5) takes the form

$$(3.5.6) \quad \Delta(F_\lambda(u)) \approx \sum_{v(I), v(II)} G(v(I), v(II)).$$

$F_{\lambda(u)}$ is a symmetric function of $u_1(1), \dots, u_1(\lambda_1)$. Hence for any $\theta \in S_{\lambda_1}$,

$$(3.5.7) \quad F_\lambda(u) \approx (K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \dots K^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) (\tilde{F}_\lambda(u) e \otimes \dots \otimes e))_\theta \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_1}(j)$$

where $(\)_\theta$ means the expression obtained from the right hand side of (3.4.2) by a permutation σ of variables $u_1(1), \dots, u_1(\lambda_1)$.

Now compute the coproduct Δ of the right hand side (3.5.7), substitute formula (3.5.2) in the obtained expression, and rewrite the result in the form (3.5.5). The sum of all similar terms corresponding to given $v(I)$ and $v(II)$ denote by $G^\theta(v(I), v(II))$. As a result, we have

$$(3.5.8) \quad \Delta(F_\lambda(u)) \approx \sum_{v(I), v(II)} G^\theta(v(I), v(II)).$$

(3.5.9) Lemma. *For any $\theta \in S_{\lambda_1}$, we have*

$$G^\theta(v(I), v(II)) = G(v(I), v(II))$$

for all $v(I)$ and $v(II)$.

Proof. The Yang-Baxter equation (3.2.1) implies that

$$(3.5.10) \quad R(x, y)(\rho_x \otimes \rho_y) \circ \Delta'(X) = (\rho_x \otimes \rho_y) \circ \Delta(X)R(x, y)$$

for any $X \in Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. We will also use commutation relations

$$(3.5.11) \quad [T_{11}(x), T_{11}(y)] = 0, \\ \alpha(x, y)K^{(1)}(x)K^{(2)}(y) = K^{(2)}(y)K^{(1)}(x)R(x, y), \\ R(x, y)M^{(1)}(x)M^{(2)}(y) = M^{(2)}(y)M^{(1)}(x)R(x, y).$$

Let $\lambda_1 = 2$. We have to compare sums of similar terms resulting from two representations:

$$(3.5.12) \quad F_\lambda(u) = K^{(1)}(u_1(1))K^{(2)}(u_1(2))((\delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)} \otimes \rho_{u_1(2)}) \circ \\ \Delta^{(2), \sigma}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) e \otimes e) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^2(j)$$

and

$$(3.5.13) \quad F_\lambda(u) = K^{(1)}(u_1(2))K^{(2)}(u_1(1))((\delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(2)} \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}) \circ \\ \Delta^{(2), \sigma}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) e \otimes e) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^2(j).$$

The latter is equivalent to

$$(3.5.14) \quad F_\lambda(u) = K^{(2)}(u_1(2))K^{(1)}(u_1(1))(R(u_1(1), u_1(2))(\delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)} \otimes \rho_{u_1(2)}) \circ \\ \Delta^{(2), \sigma}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) e \otimes e) \times \alpha^{-1}(u_1(1), u_1(2)) \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^2(j)$$

(tensor factors $\mathbb{C}^N$ can be interchanged because the whole expression is scalar; then use (3.5.10)). Set

$$\widehat{F}_\lambda(u) = \Delta((\delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)} \otimes \rho_{u_1(2)}) \circ \Delta^{(2),\sigma}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) e \otimes e) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} u_1^{-1}(j) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i(j).$$

From (3.5.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(F_\lambda(u)) &= (T_{11}(u_1(1)) \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) + K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \otimes M^{(1)}(u_1(1))) \times \\ &\quad \times (T_{11}(u_1(2)) \otimes K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) + K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) \otimes M^{(2)}(u_1(2))) (\widehat{F}_\lambda(u)) = \\ &= \left(T_{11}(u_1(1)) T_{11}(u_1(2)) \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) + \right. \\ &\quad + K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) \otimes M^{(1)}(u_1(1)) M^{(2)}(u_1(2)) + \\ &\quad + (A(u_1(2), u_1(1)) K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) T_{11}(u_1(1)) - \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma(u_1(2), u_1(1))}{\beta(u_1(2), u_1(1))} K^{(2)}(u_1(1)) T_{11}(u_1(2))) \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) M^{(2)}(u_1(2)) + \\ &\quad + K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) T_{11}(u_1(2)) \otimes (K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) M^{(1)}(u_1(1)) R(u_1(1), u_1(2)) / \beta(u_1(1), u_1(2)) - \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\bar{\gamma}(u_1(1), u_1(2))}{\beta(u_1(1), u_1(2))} K^{(2)}(u_1(1)) M^{(1)}(u_1(2)) P) \right) (\widehat{F}_\lambda(u)), \end{aligned}$$

and (3.5.14) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(F_\lambda(u)) &= (T_{11}(u_1(2)) \otimes K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) + K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) \otimes M^{(2)}(u_1(2))) \times \\ &\quad \times (T_{11}(u_1(1)) \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) + K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \otimes M^{(1)}(u_1(1))) \times \\ &\quad \times (R(u_1(1), u_1(2)) \widehat{F}_\lambda(u)) / \alpha(u_1(1), u_1(2)) = \\ &= \left(T_{11}(u_1(2)) T_{11}(u_1(1)) \otimes K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) + \right. \\ &\quad + K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \otimes M^{(2)}(u_1(2)) M^{(1)}(u_1(1)) + K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) T_{11}(u_1(1)) \otimes \\ &\quad \otimes (K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) M^{(2)}(u_1(2)) P R(u_1(2), u_1(1)) P / \beta(u_1(2), u_1(1)) - \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{\gamma}(u_1(2), u_1(1))}{\beta(u_1(2), u_1(1))} K^{(1)}(u_1(2)) M^{(2)}(u_1(1)) P) + \\ &\quad + (A(u_1(1), u_1(2)) K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) T_{11}(u_1(2)) - \frac{\gamma(u_1(1), u_1(2))}{\beta(u_1(1), u_1(2))} K^{(1)}(u_1(2)) T_{11}(u_1(1)) \otimes \\ &\quad \left. \otimes K^{(2)}(u_1(2)) M^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \right) (R(u_1(1), u_1(2)) \widehat{F}_\lambda(u)) / \alpha(u_1(1), u_1(2)). \end{aligned}$$

These two sums coincide term by term except terms containing $\gamma(x, y)$ or $\bar{\gamma}(x, y)$. It is due to (3.5.11) and the identity

$$PR(x, y)PR(y, x) = \alpha(x, y) \alpha(y, x)$$

following from the explicit form of the R -matrix. In terms containing $\gamma(x, y)$ or $\bar{\gamma}(x, y)$ we move the permutation P from right to left. Then they cancel each other in each sum separately.

The proof for general λ_1 can be done as follows. First we reduce the problem to the case where θ is a simple permutation. Then the latter case is proved similarly to the example $\lambda_1 = 2$ given above.

(3.5.15) Lemma. *If $G(v(I), v(II)) \neq 0$, then $\{v(1), \dots, v(\lambda_1)\} = \{u_1(1), \dots, u_1(\lambda_1)\}$.*

Proof. The factor $K(u_1(1))$ stands in (3.4.2) on the very left place. Hence, for each term $G(v(I), v(II))$, we have $u_1(1) \in v(I) \cup v(II)$. Hence, by Lemma (3.5.9), we have $u_1(j) \in \{v(1), \dots, v(\lambda_1)\}$ for any $j = 1, \dots, \lambda_1$.

Compute $G(v(I), v(II))$ where $v(j) = u_1(j)$, $j = 1, \dots, \lambda_1$. First, the sum of similar terms $G(v(I), v(II))$ contains exactly one similar term. To compute this term one must write explicitly $\Delta(F_\lambda(u))$, take the monomial

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{11}(u_1(1)) \dots T_{11}(u_1(l)) K^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots K^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) \otimes \\ & \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \dots K^{(l)}(u_1(l)) M^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots M^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) (\tilde{F}_\lambda(u) e \otimes \dots \otimes e), \end{aligned}$$

interchange T_{11} 's and $K^{(j)}$'s applying (3.5.3), and each time applying (3.5.3) keep only the first term of the right hand side of (3.5.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(v(I), v(II)) &= \prod_{m=1}^l \prod_{j=l+1}^{\lambda_1} A(u_1(j), u_1(m)) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{-1}(j) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_1}(j) \times \\ & \times K^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots K^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \dots K^{(l)}(u_1(l)) \\ & ((T_{11}(u_1(1)) \dots T_{11}(u_1(l)) \otimes M^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots M^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) \times \\ & \times \Delta(\tilde{F}_\lambda(u)) e \otimes \dots \otimes e). \end{aligned}$$

Defining relations (3.2.4) are equivalent to

$$(3.5.16) \quad T^{(2)}(y) (\text{id} \otimes \rho_y^{(2)}) \circ \Delta(T^{(1)}(x)) = (\text{id} \otimes \rho_y^{(2)}) \circ \Delta'(T^{(1)}(x)) T^{(2)}(y)$$

where $\Delta' = P \circ \Delta$ and P is the permutation of factors. We also have

$$(3.5.17) \quad \Delta(\tilde{F}_\lambda(u)) \approx (\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(\lambda_1)}) \circ \Delta^{(\lambda_1+1), \hat{\sigma}}(F_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{u}))$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(1, 2, 3, \dots, \lambda_1 + 2) = (1, 2, \lambda_1 + 2, \dots, 3)$.

Employing (3.5.16) for $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ and taking into account (3.2.10) and (3.5.17) we obtain

$$T_{11}(u_1(1)) \dots T_{11}(u_1(l)) \otimes M^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots M^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) \Delta(\tilde{F}_\lambda(u)) \approx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\approx (T_{11}(u_1(1)) \dots T_{11}(u_1(l)) \otimes \text{id}) (\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(\lambda_1)}) \circ \Delta^{(\lambda_1+1), \check{\sigma}}(F_{\check{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) \times \\
 &\quad \times (\text{id} \otimes M^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots M^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1))) \approx \\
 &\approx (\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(\lambda_1)}) \circ \Delta^{(\lambda_1+1), \check{\sigma}}(F_{\check{\lambda}}(\tilde{u})) \times \\
 &\quad \times (T_{11}(u_1(1)) \dots T_{11}(u_1(l)) \otimes M^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots M^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1))),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\sigma}(1, \dots, \lambda_1 + 2) = (1, \lambda_1 + 2, \dots, l + 3, 2, l + 2, \dots, 3)$. The last equality follows from (3.2.4) for $Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. Using

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta^{(\lambda_1+1), \check{\sigma}} &= (\Delta^{(\lambda_1-l), \sigma_1} \otimes \Delta^{(l), \sigma_2}) \circ \Delta, \\
 \sigma_1(1, \dots, \lambda_1 - l + 1) &= (1, \lambda_1 + 2, \dots, l + 3), \\
 \sigma_2(\lambda_1 - l + 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + 2) &= (2, l + 2, \dots, 3),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots M^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) e \otimes \dots \otimes e \approx \\
 &\approx T_{22}(u_1(l+1)) \dots T_{22}(u_1(\lambda_1)) e \otimes \dots \otimes e,
 \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(v(I), v(II)) &= \prod_{m=1}^l \prod_{j=l+1}^{\lambda_1} A(u_1(j), u_1(m)) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{-1}(j) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{\lambda_i}(j) \times \\
 &\times K^{(l+1)}(u_1(l+1)) \dots K^{(\lambda_1)}(u_1(\lambda_1)) \otimes K^{(1)}(u_1(1)) \dots K^{(l)}(u_1(l)) \times \\
 &\quad ((\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \rho_{u_1(1)}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{u_1(\lambda_1)}^{(\lambda_1)}) \circ (\Delta^{(\lambda_1-l), \sigma_1} \otimes \Delta^{(l), \sigma_2}) \circ \Delta(F_{\check{\lambda}}(\tilde{u}))) \times \\
 &\quad \times e \otimes \dots \otimes e) \times T_{11}(u_1(1)) \dots T_{11}(u_1(l)) \otimes T_{22}(u_1(l+1)) \dots T_{22}(u_1(\lambda_1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption we substitute the right hand side of (3.5.1) instead of $\Delta(F_{\check{\lambda}}(\tilde{u}))$. Finally, using

$$\rho_y(T_{22}(x)) e = \alpha(x, y) e, \quad \rho_y(T_{ii}(x)) e = \beta(x, y) e \quad \text{for } i \geq 3$$

and Theorem (3.4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(v(I), v(II)) &= \sum_{\substack{\Gamma(1) \cup \Gamma(2) \\ \Gamma_1(1) = \{l+1, \dots, \lambda_1\} \\ \Gamma_2(1) = \{1, \dots, l\}}} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_i(2)}} A(u_i(j), u_i(l)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_{i+1}(2)}} A(u_{i+1}(l), u_i(j)) \times \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{-1}(j) \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{m=i+1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_m(2)}} \beta(u_m(l), u_i(j)) \times \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_m(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_i(2)}} \beta(u_m(j), u_i(l)) \right) \times \\
 &\times F_{\lambda(1)}(u^{(1)}) \otimes F_{\lambda(2)}(u^{(2)}) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma_i(1) \\ l \in \Gamma_i(2)}} T_{ii}(u_i(l)) \otimes T_{i+1, i+1}(u_i(j)).
 \end{aligned}$$

All conventions are the same as for (3.5.1).

Due to Lemma (3.5.9) all other terms in (3.5.6) can be obtained from (3.5.18) permuting $(u_1(1), \dots, u_1(\lambda_1))$. Thus the right hand side of (3.5.6) is the sum of expressions (3.5.18) over all partitions $\Gamma_1(1)$ and $\Gamma_1(2)$ of $\{1, \dots, \lambda_1\}$. Theorem (3.5.1) is proved.

(3.6) Weight Functions of a Tensor Product of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ Modules

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ modules with highest weights $\Lambda(1), \dots, \Lambda(n)$ and generating vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$, respectively, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Define

(3.6.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(u, z) = & ((\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1)}(F_\lambda(u))) v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i^{n-1}(j) \times \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left([\lambda_i]_q! \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{q^{\Lambda_{i+1}(l)} u_i(j) / z_l - q^{-\Lambda_{i+1}(l)}}{q - q^{-1}} \prod_{m=i+1}^{N+1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{p=1}^{\lambda_m} \beta(u_m(p), u_i(j)) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (1.3.11) and (3.3.1)). In particular,

$$(3.6.2) \quad \xi_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = (q - q^{-1})^k \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} u_i(j) \times \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y), \quad k = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i,$$

and according to Theorem (3.3.4), $\eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y)$ is a symmetric function of $u_i(1), \dots, u_i(\lambda_i)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

(3.6.3) Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(u, z) = & \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{[\lambda_i]_q!} \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} A(u_i(m), u_i(j)) \right) \times \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{\lambda(1) + \dots + \lambda(n) = \lambda \\ \sigma = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(N))}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{l=l_i(j-1)+1}^{l_i(j)} C_{i,m}(u_i(\sigma_l(i)), z_m) \times \right. \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i \\ \sigma_a(i) > \sigma_b(i)}} B(u_i(\sigma_a(i)), u_i(\sigma_b(i))) \times \\ & \times \prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{l=l_i(j-1)+1}^{l_i(j)} \prod_{k=l_{i-1}(m-1)+1}^{l_{i-1}(m)} A(u_i(\sigma_l(i)), u_{i-1}(\sigma_k(i-1))) \Big) \times \\ & \times \xi_{\lambda(1), V(1)}(u^{(1)}, z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{\lambda(n), V(n)}(u^{(n)}, z_n). \end{aligned}$$

The sum is taken over all $\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(j) = \lambda$, and over all permutations $\sigma \in S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_N}$; and we use the notation

$$l_i(m) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i(j), \quad l_i(0) = 0, \quad l_i(n) = \lambda_i,$$

and

$$u^{(m)} = \{u_i(j) : j \in \sigma(i)(l_i(m-1) + 1, \dots, l_i(m))\}.$$

Proof. The following identity is well known:

$$(3.6.4) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{j=2}^m \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A(x(\sigma_l), x(\sigma_j)) = [m]_q!$$

This is equivalent to

$$(3.6.5) \quad \prod_{j=2}^m \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A(x(l), x(j)) \times \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a < b \leq m \\ \sigma_a > \sigma_b}} B(x(\sigma_a), x(\sigma_b)) = [m]_q!$$

Decompose the the iterated coproduct in (3.6.1) as $\Delta^{(n-1)} = (\Delta^{(p-1)} \otimes \Delta^{(n-p-1)}) \circ \Delta$, use Theorem (3.5.1) to calculate $\Delta(F_\lambda(u))$ and employ

$$(\varphi_{z_l} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_m}) \circ \Delta^{(m-l)}(T_{ii}(x)) v_l \otimes \dots \otimes v_m = \prod_{k=l}^m \frac{q^{\Lambda_i(k)} x/z_k - q^{-\Lambda_i(k)}}{(q - q^{-1})} v_l \otimes \dots \otimes v_m.$$

After simple manipulation, we come to

$$(3.6.6) \quad \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(u, z) = \sum_{\Gamma' \cup \Gamma''} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma'_i \\ l \in \Gamma''_i}} A(u_i(j), u_i(l)) \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma'_i \\ l \in \Gamma''_{i+1}}} A(u_{i+1}(l), u_i(j)) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{l \in \Gamma''_i} \prod_{k=1}^p C_{i,k}(u_i(l), z_k) \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{[\lambda'_i]_q! [\lambda''_i]_q!}{[\lambda_i]_q!} \times \xi_{\lambda', V(1), \dots, V(p)}(u', z') \otimes \xi_{\lambda'', V(p+1), \dots, V(n)}(u'', z'')$$

where primes stand for (1) and (2) in (3.5.1) and $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_p)$, $z'' = (z_{p+1}, \dots, z_n)$.

To any $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ and $\sigma \in S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_N}$ assign the set $\Gamma^{\mu, \sigma} = \{u_i(j) : j \in \sigma(i)(1, \dots, \mu_i)\}$. Say that $\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}$ are μ -equivalent if $\Gamma^{\mu, \sigma} = \Gamma^{\mu, \tilde{\sigma}}$. We interpret the sum over all partitions in (3.6.6) as the sum over all $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, such that $\lambda' + \lambda'' = \lambda$, and over all classes of λ' -equivalent permutations. Replacing q -factorials by sums over permutations from the left hand side of identity (3.6.5) and extracting a common factor we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6.7) \quad \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)} &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{[\lambda_i]_q!} \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} A(u_i(m), u_i(j)) \right) \times \\
 &\times \sum_{\substack{\lambda(1)+\dots+\lambda(n)=\lambda \\ \sigma=(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(N))}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^p \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{l=l_i(p)+1}^{\lambda_i} C_{i,m}(u_i(\sigma_l(i)), z_m) \times \right. \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i \\ \sigma_a(i) > \sigma_b(i)}} B(u_i(\sigma_a(i)), u_i(\sigma_b(i))) \times \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{l=l_i(p)+1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{k=1}^{l_i(p)} A(u_i(\sigma_l(i)), u_{i-1}(\sigma_k(i-1))) \times \\
 &\times \xi_{\lambda', V(1), \dots, V(p)}(u', z') \otimes \xi_{\lambda'', V(p+1), \dots, V(n)}(u'', z'')
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda' = \sum_{m=1}^p \lambda(m)$, $\lambda'' = \sum_{m=p+1}^n \lambda(m)$, and all other conventions are the same as in (3.6.3). General formula (3.6.3) can be proved by induction. Identity (3.6.5) must be used in the proof.

(3.6.8) Lemma. *Let $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ be the weight function (1.4.6). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{(q - q^{-1})^{\lambda_i}}{[\lambda_i]_q!} \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_i} t_i(j) \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} A(t_i(m), t_i(j)) \right) \times \\
 &\times w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z).
 \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from (3.6.2), (3.6.3), (3.6.5) and (1.4.4)–(1.4.6).

Proof of Theorem (3.1.1). By definitions (3.2.14) and (3.2.15) of the R -matrix and definition (3.6.1) of $\xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(u, z)$ we have

$$R_{V(i), V(i+1)}(z_i/z_{i+1}) \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = P_i \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(i+1), V(i), \dots, V(n)}(t, \tilde{z}_i).$$

Notation is the same as in (3.1.1). Lemma (3.6.8) completes the proof.

(3.7) Rational R -matrix

Let $R(x, y)$ be the R -matrix, defined by (1.3.1) with $\alpha(x, y) = x - y + 1$, $\beta(x, y) = x - y$, $\gamma(x, y) = \bar{\gamma}(x, y) = 1$, $[Y]$. It satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation (3.2.1). The *Yangian*

$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ [D1] is the associative algebra generated by elements T_{ij}^s , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$ subject to relations (3.2.4) where $T(x)$ is the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ -matrix with entries

$$T_{ij}(x) = x \delta_{ij} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} T_{ij}^s x^{1-s}.$$

The generators T_{ij}^s for $i, j = 2, \dots, N+1$ obey exactly the same relations as the generators of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) &\rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \\ T_{ij}^s &\mapsto T_{i+1, j+1}^s \end{aligned}$$

the corresponding embedding. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_y : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) &\rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})[[y]] \\ T(x) &\mapsto T(x - y) \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding of algebras. Here

$$(x - y)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^k x^{-k-1}.$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_y : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) &\rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})[[y]] \\ T(x) &\mapsto R(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

is a homomorphism. The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ admits a natural coproduct (3.2.8). Let $N(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ be the left ideal in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ generated by all T_{ij}^s for $i > j$, and $V(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) = Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})/N(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. The symbol $\approx$ will be used if an equality takes place in $V(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. $N(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ is a two-sided coideal in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. Hence the coproduct Δ induces a coproduct $V(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \otimes V(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. The embedding δ , the map ρ_y and the coproduct Δ have properties (3.2.10). There exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$$

defined by formulas (2.3.1). Set

$$\varphi_y = \varphi \circ \theta_y : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})[[y]].$$

Let V_1 and V_2 be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ highest weight module with generating vectors v_1 and v_2 , respectively. There exists a linear map

$$R_{V_1, V_2}(x) : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2$$

such that

$$(3.7.1) \quad R_{V_1, V_2}(x-y) (\varphi_x \otimes \varphi_y) \circ \Delta(x) = (\varphi_x \otimes \varphi_y) \circ \Delta'(x) R_{V_1, V_2}(x-y)$$

for any $X \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ and

$$(3.7.2) \quad R_{V_1, V_2}(x) v_1 \otimes v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2,$$

see [C]. Here $\Delta' = P \cdot \Delta$, where P is the permutation of factors. Moreover $R_{V_1, V_2}(x)$ satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.4) and

$$(3.7.3) \quad R_{V_2, V_1}^{-1}(-x) = P_{V_1, V_2} R_{V_1, V_2}(x) P_{V_2, V_1}$$

where $P_{V_i, V_j} : V_i \otimes V_j \rightarrow V_j \otimes V_i$ is the permutation. *This R-matrix is used in the qKZ (2.1.6).*

(3.7.4) *Remark.* Properties (3.7.1) and (3.7.2) uniquely define the R -matrix for irreducible modules V_1 and V_2 .

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ highest weight modules. For $i = 1, \dots, N$ let P_i be the permutation of i -th and $(i+1)$ -th factors, and $\tilde{z}_i = (z_1, \dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots, z_n)$.

(3.7.5) Theorem.

$$R_{V(i), V(j)}(z_i - z_{i+1}) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = P_i w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(i+1), V(i), \dots, V(n)}(t, \tilde{z}_i).$$

(Cf. Theorem (3.3.1).)

The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Theorem (3.1.1) given in (3.1)–(3.6). We only mention four important formulas, corresponding to formulas (3.6.1), (3.6.2), (3.6.4) and (3.6.8), respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(u, z) &= (\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1)}(F_\lambda(u)) v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \times \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\lambda_i! \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{l=1}^n (u_i(j) - z_l + \Lambda_{i+1}(l)) \prod_{m=i+1}^{N+1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{p=1}^{\lambda_m} \beta(u_m(p), u_i(j)) \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\xi_{\lambda, V}(u, y) = \eta_{\lambda, V}(u, y),$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{j=2}^m \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A(x(\sigma_l), x(\sigma_j)) = m!,$$

$$\xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i!} \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} A(t_i(m), t_i(j)) \right) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z).$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM (1.5.2)

(4.1) In Section 4 we'll prove

(4.1.1) Theorem. *The function $\Psi(z)$ defined by (1.5.1) satisfies the first equation of the qKZ (1.1.13):*

$$Z_1 \Psi = q^{\alpha_1} L_{V(1)}(\mu) R_{V(n)V(1)}^{-1} \left(\frac{z_n}{z_1} \right) \dots R_{V(2)V(1)}^{-1} \left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) \Psi.$$

The statement that $\Psi(z)$ satisfies the other equations of the qKZ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ can be easily reduced to Theorem (4.1.1). The reduction is standard; see, for example, [V2, (2.6) and (3.5)].

(4.2) Proof of Theorem (4.1.1)

Functions $\{\Phi\}$ introduced in (1.4.8) have the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2.1) \quad & \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m}(pt_i(j), z_m) = C_{i,m}(t_i(j), z_m) \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m}(t_i(j), z_m), \\ & \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m}(t_i(j), pz_m) C_{i,m}(t_i(j), pz_m) = \Phi_{t_i(j), z_m}(t_i(j), z_m), \\ & \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)}(pt_i(a), t_i(b)) = B(t_i(b), t_i(a)) \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)}(t_i(a), t_i(b)), \\ & \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)}(t_i(a), pt_i(b)) B(pt_i(b), t_i(a)) = \Phi_{t_i(a), t_i(b)}(t_i(a), t_i(b)), \\ & \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)}(t_i(a), pt_{i+1}(b)) = A(t_{i+1}(b), t_i(a)) \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)}(t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)), \\ & \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)}(pt_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)) A(t_{i+1}(b), pt_i(a)) = \Phi_{t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)}(t_i(a), t_{i+1}(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ and $\lambda(1) + \dots + \lambda(n) = \lambda$. Fix $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)) \in S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_n}$. Consider the $V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}$ -valued function $w_\sigma(t, z) = w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n); \sigma}(t, z)$ defined by (1.4.4). Our first goal is to describe the function

$$Z_1 \int_{[0, \xi\infty]_p} \Phi(t, z) w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n); \sigma}(t, z) \Omega.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, N$, define $\bar{\sigma}(i) \in S_{\lambda_i}$ by the rule:

$$(4.2.2) \quad \bar{\sigma}_j(i) = \sigma_{j+\lambda_1(i)}(i) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda_2(i) + \lambda_3(i) + \dots + \lambda_n(i),$$

$$(4.2.3) \quad \bar{\sigma}_{j+\lambda_2(i)+\dots+\lambda_n(i)}(i) = \sigma_j(i).$$

For $\bar{\sigma} = (\bar{\sigma}(1), \dots, \bar{\sigma}(n)) \in S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_n}$, consider the function

$$\bar{w}_{\bar{\sigma}} = w_{\lambda(2), V(2), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n), \lambda(1), V(1); \bar{\sigma}}(t, z).$$

It is a $V(2)_{\lambda(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)} \otimes V(1)_{\lambda(1)}$ -valued function. Let

$$P : V(2) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n) \otimes V(1) \rightarrow V(1) \otimes V(2) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$$

be the linear map defined by the rule $x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes x_1 \mapsto x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$ for all $x_i \in V(i)$. Then $P\bar{w}_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is a $V(1)_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)_{\lambda(n)}$ -valued function.

(4.2.4) Lemma.

$$Z_1 \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_p} \Phi(t, z) w_\sigma(t, z) \Omega = q^{\alpha_1} L_{V(1)}(\mu) P \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_p} \Phi(t, z) \bar{w}_\sigma(t, z) \Omega.$$

(4.2.5) Corollary. *Let $w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)}$ be the function defined by (1.4.5). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_p} \Phi w_{\lambda(1), V(1), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n)} \Omega &= \\ &= q^{\alpha_1} L_{V(1)}(\mu) P \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_p} \Phi w_{\lambda(2), V(2), \dots, \lambda(n), V(n), \lambda(1), V(1)} \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $U(\Phi w_\sigma)$ be the function obtained from Φw_σ by the transformation of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2.6) \quad t_i(j) &\mapsto p t_i(j) && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i(1), \\ t_i(j) &\mapsto t_i(j) && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N \text{ and } j = \lambda_i(1) + 1, \dots, \lambda_i, \\ z_1 &\mapsto p z_1, \\ z_m &\mapsto z_m && \text{for } m = 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(4.2.7) \quad Z_1 \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_p} \Phi w_\sigma \Omega = \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_p} U(\Phi w_\sigma) \Omega$$

by the Stokes formula (1.2.7). By (4.2.1), we have

$$(4.2.8) \quad U(\Phi w_\sigma) = q^{\alpha_1} L_{V(1)}(\mu) P \Phi \bar{w}_\sigma.$$

This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem (4.1.1). By (3.1.1) and (3.2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2.9) \quad q^{\alpha_1} L_{V(1)}(\mu) P w_{\lambda, V(2), \dots, V(n), V(1)} &= \\ &= q^{\alpha_1} L_{V(1)}(\mu) R_{V(n), V(1)}^{-1} \left(\frac{z_n}{z_1} \right) \dots R_{V(2), V(1)}^{-1} \left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This equality and Corollary (4.2.5) prove Theorem (4.1.1).

5. ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTIONS TO qKZ AND BETHE-ANSATZ

(5.1) Asymptotic solutions to holonomic difference equations

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, V^* its dual space, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ their pairing, and $K_i(z_1, \dots, z_n; p) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} K_{is}(z_1, \dots, z_n) p^s$ where all $K_{is}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $K_{i0}^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are smooth $\text{End}(V)$ -valued functions. Set $Z_i = \exp(p\partial_i)$, $\partial_i = \partial_{z_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, as a formal power series. Assume that $K_i(z; p)$ obey compatibility conditions

$$(5.1.1) \quad Z_i K_j(z; p) \cdot K_i(z; p) = Z_j K_i(z; p) \cdot K_j(z; p)$$

which in particular mean that for any i, j

$$(5.1.2) \quad [K_{i0}(z), K_{j0}(z)] = 0.$$

Let $w(z)$ be a common eigenvector of $K_{i0}(z)$ with eigenvalues $E_i(z)$:

$$(5.1.3) \quad K_{i0}(z)w(z) = E_i(z)w(z).$$

Say that $w(z)$ is a simple eigenvector if V is spanned by $w(z)$ and the sum of $\text{im}(K_{i0}(z) - E_i(z))$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Consider a holonomic system of formal difference equations

$$(5.1.4) \quad Z_i \Psi(z; p) = K_i(z; p) \Psi(z; p).$$

Let $w(z)$ be a simple eigenvector of $K_{i0}(z)$ with eigenvalues $E_i(z)$ such that $w(z)$ and $E_i(z)$ are smooth functions.

(5.1.5) Theorem. *There exists a formal solution*

$$\Psi(z; p) = \exp(\tau(z)/p + \alpha(z)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi_k(z) p^k$$

of system (5.1.4) such that $\Psi_0(z) = w(z)$ and $\tau(z)$, $\alpha(z)$, $\Psi_k(z)$, $k \geq 1$, are smooth functions. Such a solution is unique modulo scalar factor of the form $\exp(\beta_{-1}/p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k p^k$ independent of $z_1, \dots, z_n$.

The proof will be given at the end of the section.

(5.1.6) Lemma. *Let $G_i \in \text{End } V$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, obey conditions*

- a) $[G_i, G_j] = 0$ for any i, j ;
- b) $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker G_i = 0$.

Then there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i G_i$ is invertible.

Proof. For $m = 1$ the statement is obvious. Let $m = 2$ and $V_0 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \ker G_1^k$. There exists a subspace V_1 such that $G_1 V_1 \subset V_1$ and $V = V_0 \dot{+} V_1$. Evidently $G_2 V_a \subset V_a$, $a = 0, 1$, too. It is clear that $G_1|_{V_0}$ is nilpotent and $G_1|_{V_1}$ is invertible in V_1 . It follows from condition a) that $G_2|_{V_0}$ is invertible in V_0 . Hence $G_1 + \alpha G_2$ is invertible for small α . For the general case the statement can be proved by induction on m .

(5.1.7) Corollary. *A linear system $G_i v = w_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, has a solution if and only if the compatibility conditions $G_i w_j = G_j w_i$ hold. The solution is unique.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be such that $G = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i G_i$ is invertible. Then necessarily $v = G^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i w_i \right)$ and equalities $G_i v = w_i$ evidently follow from the compatibility conditions.

(5.1.8) Lemma. *Let $G_i \in \text{End } V$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, obey conditions*

- a) $[G_i, G_j] = 0$,
- b) V is spanned by $V_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker G_i$ and $V' = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{im } G_i$.

Then a linear system $G_i v = w_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, has a solution if and only if $w_i \in V'$ and the compatibility conditions $G_i w_j = G_j w_i$ hold. The solution $v \in V'$ is unique.

Proof. It follows from Lemma (5.1.6) that we can find G which is a linear combination of G_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that $\ker G = V_0$ and $V = V_0 \dot{+} V''$, $V'' = \text{im } G$. Then $\text{im } G_i = G_i V'' = G_i G V = G G_i V \subset V''$, so $V' = V''$. Let G_0 be a projector on V_0 along V' . The set G_k , $k = 0, \dots, m$, satisfy conditions of Lemma (5.1.6) and the statement follows from Corollary (5.1.7).

(5.1.9) Corollary. *Let $G_i \in \text{End } V$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, be a commutative family. The following statements are equivalent:*

- a) *There exists a simple eigenvector v of $\{G_i\}$ with eigenvalues $\{E_i\}$;*
- b) *There exists a simple eigenvector f of $\{G_i^*\}$ with eigenvalues $\{E_i\}$.*

Moreover v and f can be chosen as polynomials in G_i , E_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\langle f, v \rangle \neq 0$.

Proof. Let v be a simple eigenvector of $\{G_i\}$ with eigenvalues $\{E_i\}$. Then we can find $G = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i G_i$ such that v spans $\ker G$ and $V = \ker G \dot{+} \text{im } G$. This is equivalent to $V^* = \ker G^* \dot{+} \text{im } G^*$ and $\dim \ker G^* = 1$. Let $f \in \ker G^*$ be its basic vector. Obviously, $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$. And it is also clear that v and f can be chosen as polynomials in G (or G^*).

Let again $w(z)$ be a simple eigenvector of $\{K_{i0}(z)\}$ with eigenvalues $\{E_i(z)\}$ and $\chi(z)$ the corresponding simple eigenvector of $K_{i0}^*(z)$ such that $\langle \chi(z), w(z) \rangle = 1$.

(5.1.10) Theorem. *There exist unique formal power series $\psi(z; p) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \psi_s(z) p^s$ and $d_i(z; p) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} d_{is}(z) p^s$ such that $\psi_0(z) = w(z)$, $d_{i0}(z) = E_i^{-1}(z)$, $\langle \chi(z), \psi(z; p) \rangle = 1$, and*

$$Z_i \psi(z; p) = d_i(z; p) K_i(z; p) \psi(z; p).$$

$\psi_s(z)$ and $d_{is}(z)$ are differential polynomials in $w(z)$, $\chi(z)$, $E_i(z)$, $K_{ik}(z)$, $k = 1, \dots, s$.

Proof. For any formal power series $a(p) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s p^s$ denote $\{a(p)\}_s = a_s$ and $\{a(p)\}^s = \sum_{k=0}^s a_k p^k$. The zero order term in p of the system in question is trivially satisfied. The higher order terms in p of the system read as follows.

$$(5.1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (d_{i0}(z) K_{i0}(z) - 1) \psi_{s+1}(z) + d_{i,s+1} K_{i0}(z) w(z) &= \tilde{\psi}_{is}(z), \\ \langle \chi(z), \psi_{s+1}(z) \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_{is}(z) = \{Z_i \{\psi(z; p)\}^s - \{d_i(z; p)\}^s K_i(z; p) \{\psi(z; p)\}^s\}_{s+1}$. Then $d_{i,s+1}(z) = d_{i0}(z) \langle \chi(z), \tilde{\psi}_{is}(z) \rangle$, and due to Lemma (5.1.8) $\psi_{s+1}(z)$ can be found uniquely if the compatibility conditions

$$(5.1.12) \quad (d_{i0}(z) K_{i0}(z) - 1) \tilde{\psi}_{js}(z) = (d_{j0}(z) K_{j0}(z) - 1) \tilde{\psi}_{is}(z)$$

hold. Thus to prove the Theorem it suffices to prove (5.1.12).

Let us rewrite conditions (5.1.1) as

$$[K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i, K_j^{-1}(z; p) Z_j] = 0$$

and use relations

$$\{K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i \{\psi(z; p)\}^s - \{d_i(z; p)\}^s \{\psi(z; p)\}^s\}^s = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \{K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i \{\psi(z; p)\}^s\}^{s+1} &= \{\{d_i(z; p)\}^s \{\psi(z; p)\}^s\}^{s+1} + \\ &+ \{(K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i - \{d_i(z; p)\}^s) \{\psi(z; p)\}^s\}_{s+1} p^{s+1}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \{K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i (K_j^{-1}(z; p) Z_j \{\psi(z; p)\}^s)\}^{s+1} &= \\ = \{Z_i \{d_j(z; p)\}^s \cdot \{d_i(z; p)\}^s \{\psi(z; p)\}^s\}^{s+1} + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ (d_{j0}(z)\{(K_i^{-1}(z;p)Z_i - \{d_i(z;p)\}^s)\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}_{s+1} + \\
 &+ K_{i0}^{-1}(z)\{(K_j^{-1}(z;p)Z_j - \{d_j(z;p)\}^s)\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}_{s+1})p^{s+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \{[K_i^{-1}(z;p)Z_i, K_j^{-1}(z;p)Z_j]\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}^{s+1} = \\
 &= \{(Z_i\{d_j(z;p)\}^s \cdot \{d_i(z;p)\}^s - Z_j\{d_i(z;p)\}^s \cdot \{d_j(z;p)\}^s)\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}^{s+1} + \\
 &\quad + ((K_{i0}^{-1}(z) - d_{i0}(z))\{(K_j^{-1}(z;p)Z_j - \{d_j(z;p)\}^s)\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}_{s+1} - \\
 &\quad - (K_{j0}^{-1}(z) - d_{j0}(z))\{(K_i^{-1}(z;p)Z_i - \{d_i(z;p)\}^s)\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}_{s+1})p^{s+1} = \\
 &= \{(Z_i\{d_j(z;p)\}^s \cdot \{d_j(z;p)\}^s - Z_j\{d_i(z;p)\}^s \cdot \{d_j(z;p)\}^s)\{\psi(z;p)\}^s\}^{s+1} + \\
 &\quad + K_{i0}^{-1}(z)K_{j0}^{-1}(z)((1 - d_{i0}(z)K_{i0}(z))\tilde{\psi}_{j,s}(z) - (1 - d_{j0}(z)K_{j0}(z))\tilde{\psi}_{i,s}(z))p^{s+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the functional $\chi(z)$ to the last expression above we can see that both terms in it must vanish separately. Hence compatibility conditions (5.1.12) are proved. Moreover $\psi_s(z)$ and $d_{is}(z)$ are explicitly constructed as differential polynomials in $w(z)$, $E_i(z)$, K_{ik} , $k = 1, \dots, s$.

(5.1.13) Corollary. $Z_i d_j(z;p) \cdot d_i(z;p) = Z_j d_i(z;p) \cdot d_j(z;p)$.

(5.1.14) Lemma. *There exists a unique formal power series $\tau(z;p) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \tau_s(z)p^s$ such that*

$$Z_i \exp(\tau(z;p)/p) = d_i^{-1}(z;p) \exp(\tau(z;p)/p).$$

Proof. Let $f(x) = (e^x - 1)/x$. $f(p\partial_i)$ is invertible in the sense of a formal power series. Set $g_i = (f(p\partial_i))^{-1}$ and $\tilde{d}_i(z;p) = -g_i \ln d_i(z;p)$. Equation (5.1.14) is now equivalent to

$$(5.1.15) \quad \partial_i \tau(z;p) = \tilde{d}_i(z;p)$$

and (5.1.13) is transformed into the compatibility conditions for (5.1.15). Hence the statement is proved.

Proof of Theorem (5.1.5). It is obvious that a solution to system (5.1.4) can be obtained as follows: $\tau(z) = \tau_0(z)$, $\alpha(z) = \tau_1(z)$, and

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \Psi_s(z)p^s = \exp\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \tau_{s+1}(z)p^s\right) \psi(z;p)$$

where the right hand side has to be re-expanded as a formal power series in p .

(5.1.16) Corollary. *The following equations hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(\partial_i \tau(z)) &= E_i(z), \\ \partial_i E_j(z) \cdot E_i(z) &= \partial_j E_i(z) \cdot E_j(z).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. They follow from Theorem (5.1.10), Corollary (5.1.13) and Lemma (5.1.14) if we consider the zero and the first order terms in p .

The leading term of the formal solutions $\Psi(z; p)$ admits the invariant description. Set $\hat{\Psi}(z; p) = \exp(-\tau(z)/p) \Psi(z; p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Psi}_k p^k$ and define a connection ∇_i as follows:

$$(5.1.17) \quad \ln(K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i) = -\ln K_{i0} + p \nabla_i + O(p^2).$$

(5.1.18) Lemma. *For any $i = 1, \dots, n$ $\nabla_i \hat{\Psi}_0(z) \in \sum_{i=0}^n \text{im}(d_{i0}(z) K_{i0}(z) - 1)$.*

Proof. System (5.1.4) means that for any formal power series $h(z; p)$

$$(5.1.19) \quad K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i(h(z; p) \hat{\Psi}(z; p)) = \exp((\tau(z) - Z_i \tau(z))/p) Z_i h(z; p) \cdot \hat{\Psi}(z; p).$$

The right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(\tau(z)/p) Z_i(\exp(-\tau(z)/p) h(z; p)) \cdot \hat{\Psi}(z; p) &= \\ = \exp(p \partial_i - \partial_i \tau(z)) h(z; p) \cdot \hat{\Psi}(z; p) &= \exp(p \partial_i - \ln E_i(z)) h(z; p) \cdot \hat{\Psi}(z; p).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (5.1.19) implies

$$\ln(K_i^{-1}(z; p) Z_i)(h(z; p) \hat{\Psi}(z; p)) = (p \partial_i - \ln E_i) h(z; p) \cdot \hat{\Psi}(z; p).$$

The statement follows from the first order in p of the last equality for $h(z; p) = 1$.

(5.2) Eigenvectors of qKZ -operators

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ highest weight modules with generating vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$, respectively. Let $R_{V(i), V(j)}$ be the R -matrices (3.2.13)–(3.2.15) acting in $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ according to convention (1.1.11). For any $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define the qKZ -operator

$$\begin{aligned}(5.2.1) \quad K_m(z) &= R_{V(m), V(m-1)}\left(\frac{z_m}{z_{m-1}}\right) \dots R_{V(m), V(1)}\left(\frac{z_m}{z_1}\right) \times \\ &\times q^{\alpha_m} L_{V(m)}(\mu) R_{V(n), V(m)}^{-1}\left(\frac{z_n}{z_m}\right) \dots R_{V(m+1), V(m)}^{-1}\left(\frac{z_{m+1}}{z_m}\right).\end{aligned}$$

It is the operator in the right hand side of the qKZ (1.1.13) for $p = 1$. We have

$$(5.2.2) \quad [K_i(z), K_j(z)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

This follows from

$$(5.2.3) \quad [R_{V(i), V(j)}(x), L_{V(i)}(\mu) L_{V(j)}(\mu)] = 0$$

and [FR, Theorem 5.4].

Let $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ be the weight function introduced by (1.4.6). For any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ set

$$(5.2.4) \quad H_{ij}(t, z) = q^{\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i} \prod_{m=1}^n C_{i,m}(t_i(j), z_m) \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^{\lambda_i} B(t_i(l), t_i(j)) \times \\ \times \prod_{l=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} A^{-1}(t_{i+1}(l), t_i(j)) \times \prod_{l=1}^{\lambda_{i-1}} A(t_i(j), t_{i-1}(l)).$$

For any $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, set

$$(5.2.5) \quad E_m(t, z) = q^{\alpha_m + \langle \mu, \Lambda(m) \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} C_{i,m}^{-1}(t_i(j), z_m)$$

where $\langle \mu, \Lambda(m) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \mu_i \Lambda_i(m)$. For given $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the system of equations

$$(5.2.6) \quad H_{ij}(t, z) = 1$$

on variables $t_i(j)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i$, is called the system of Bethe-ansatz equations [KR].

(5.2.7) Theorem. *Let $t = \{t_i(j)\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations. Then $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) \in (V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n))_\lambda$ is an eigenvector of operators $K_1, \dots, K_n$:*

$$K_m(z) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = E_m(t, z) w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z).$$

Proof. Set $M_m(z) = \prod_{i=1}^m K_i(z)$.

(5.2.8) Lemma.

$$M_m(z) = \prod_{i=1}^m q^{\alpha_i} L_{V(i)}(\mu) \tilde{M}_m(z)$$

where $\tilde{M}_m(z) = M_m(z, \mu = 0, \alpha = 0)$, and

$$M_n(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n q^{\alpha_i} L_{V(i)}(\mu).$$

The lemma easily follows from

$$(5.2.9) \quad R_{V(j), V(i)}^{-1}(x^{-1}) = R_{V(i), V(j)}(x),$$

(cf. (1.1.11)), and (5.2.1)–(5.2.3).

From (3.2.14) it follows that for any $X \in Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$

$$(5.2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_m(z) (\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1)}(X) = \\ = (\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1), \sigma_m}(X) \tilde{M}_m(z) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_m(1, \dots, n) = (m+1, \dots, n, 1, \dots, m)$. Due to (3.6.8) and (5.2.2) we can consider $M_m(z)$ and $\xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ instead of $K_m(z)$ and $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$. Relation (5.2.10) is equivalent to

$$(5.2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_m(z) (\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ (\Delta^{(m-1)} \otimes \Delta^{(n-m-1)}) \circ \Delta(X) = \\ = (\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ (\Delta^{(m-1)} \otimes \Delta^{(n-m-1)}) \circ \Delta'(X) \tilde{M}_m(z) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta' = P \circ \Delta$ and P is the permutation of factors. Using formula (3.6.6) for $\xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ and formulas (1.1.6), (5.2.8), (5.2.11), we get

$$(5.2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} M_m(z) \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = \prod_{k=1}^m q^{\alpha_k + \langle \mu, \Lambda(k) \rangle} \times \sum_{\Gamma' \cup \Gamma''} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma'_i \\ l \in \Gamma''_i}} A(u_i(l), u_i(j)) \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{\substack{j \in \Gamma'_{i+1} \\ l \in \Gamma''_i}} A(u_{i+1}(j), u_i(l)) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \in \Gamma'_i} \prod_{k=1}^m C_{i,k}(u_i(j), z_k) \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left(q^{(\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i) \lambda'_i} \frac{[\lambda'_i]_q! [\lambda''_i]_q!}{[\lambda_i]_q!} \right) \times \xi_{\lambda', V(1), \dots, V(m)}(t', z') \otimes \xi_{\lambda'', V(m+1), \dots, V(n)}(t'', z''). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.6.6) and (5.2.12) we see that $\xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ is an eigenvector if t satisfies the Bethe-ansatz equations. Namely,

$$(5.2.13) \quad M_m(z) \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) = \prod_{k=1}^m E_k(t, z) \xi_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$$

which is equivalent to (5.2.11).

(5.2.14) *Remark.* Comparing (5.2.8) and (5.2.13) we get a corollary,

$$\prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} C_{i,m}(t_i(j), z_m) = \prod_{i=1}^N q^{(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1})\lambda_i}.$$

This equality can also be deduced directly from the Bethe-ansatz equations (5.2.6).

(5.3) Bethe-Ansatz Equations and Critical Points

Let $F(x; p)$ be a function of x and p defined in a punctured neighborhood of the line $p = 1$. Define

$$(5.3.1) \quad D_x F(x) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} \frac{F(px; p)}{F(x; p)}$$

if the limit exists. Say that x is a p -critical point of the function $F(x; p)$ if $D_x F(x) = 1$.

Example. Let $F(x; p) = \nu(x) \exp(\tau(x)/(p-1))$ where $\nu(x)$ and $\tau(x)$ are smooth. Then $D_x F(x) = \exp(x\tau'(x))$ and x is a p -critical point if $\exp(x\tau'(x)) = 1$.

Consider the function $\Phi(t, z; p)$ introduced in (1.4.9) to solve the qKZ .

(5.3.2) Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{t_i(j)} \Phi(t, z) &= H_{ij}(t, z), \\ D_{z_m} \Phi(t, z) &= E_m(t, z). \end{aligned}$$

(5.3.3) Corollary. For given $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the Bethe-ansatz equations coincide with the p -critical point equations for $\Phi(t, z; p)$.

The dilogarithm function $\text{Li}_2(u)$ is defined by

$$(5.3.4) \quad \text{Li}_2(u) = - \int_0^u \frac{\ln(1-t)}{t} dt.$$

Set

$$(5.3.5) \quad \Psi(x, y) = \text{Li}_2(xy) + \frac{1}{2} \ln x \ln y,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3.6) \quad \tau(t, z) = & \ln q \left(\sum_{m=1}^n (\alpha_m + \langle \mu, \Lambda(m) \rangle) \ln z_m + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i) \ln t_i(j) \right) + \\
 & + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left(\Psi \left(\frac{t_i(j)}{z_m}, q^{2\Lambda_{i+1}(m)} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{t_i(j)}{z_m}, q^{2\Lambda_i(m)} \right) \right) + \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{a=1}^{\lambda_i} \sum_{b=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \left(\Psi \left(\frac{t_{i+1}(b)}{t_i(a)}, 1 \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{t_{i+1}(b)}{t_i(a)}, q^2 \right) \right) + \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i} \left(\Psi \left(\frac{t_i(a)}{t_i(b)}, q^2 \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{t_i(a)}{t_i(b)}, q^{-2} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(5.3.7) Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp \left(t_i(j) \frac{\partial \tau(t, z)}{\partial t_i(j)} \right) &= H_{ij}(t, z), \\
 \exp \left(z_m \frac{\partial \tau(t, z)}{\partial z_m} \right) &= E_m(t, z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = t(z)$ be a local solution to the Bethe-ansatz equations holomorphically depending on z . Then

$$(5.3.8) \quad t_i(j) \frac{\partial \tau(t(z), z)}{\partial t_i(j)} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} I_{i,j}$$

where I_{ij} are integers independent of z . Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3.9) \quad \hat{\tau}(z) &= \tau(t(z), z) - 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{ij} I_{i,j} \ln t_i(j), \\
 \hat{E}_m(z) &= E_m(t(z), z).
 \end{aligned}$$

(5.3.10) Lemma.

$$\hat{E}_m(z) = \exp \left(z_m \frac{\partial \hat{\tau}(z)}{\partial z_m} \right).$$

(5.3.11) Corollary.

$$z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \ln \hat{E}_m(z) = z_m \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \hat{E}_j(z).$$

(Cf. Corollary (5.1.16).)

(5.3.12) Theorem.

$$(p-1) \ln \Phi(t, z; p) \rightarrow \tau(t, z),$$

if $p \rightarrow 1$ from below and all arguments of functions Li_2 's in (5.3.6) belong to $(0, 1)$.

The theorem follows from the following lemma.

(5.3.13) Lemma. Let $(u, p)_\infty$ be defined by (1.4.7) and $u, p \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 1} (p-1) \ln(u, p)_\infty = \text{Li}_2(u).$$

Proof.

$$(p-1) \ln(u, p)_\infty = - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (p^m - p^{m+1}) \frac{\ln(1 - p^m u)}{p^m} \text{Li}_2(u).$$

(5.4) Asymptotic solutions to qKZ . $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ case

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ highest weight modules with generating vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$, respectively. Then the dual spaces $V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)$ are right $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ lowest weight modules with generating vectors $v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*$, respectively, such that $\langle v_i^*, v_i \rangle = 1$. For any $m = 1, \dots, n$ define the qKZ -operator

$$(5.4.1) \quad K_m(z) = R_{V(m), V(m-1)} \left(\frac{pz_m}{z_{m-1}} \right) \cdots R_{V(m), V(1)} \left(\frac{pz_m}{z_1} \right) \times \\ \times q^{\alpha_m} L_{V(m)}(\mu) R_{V(n), V(m)}^{-1} \left(\frac{z_n}{z_m} \right) \cdots R_{V(m+1), V(m)}^{-1} \left(\frac{z_{m+1}}{z_m} \right).$$

It is the operator in the right hand side of the qKZ (1.1.13).

For any set $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ define a dual weight function $\omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z)$:

$$(5.4.2) \quad \omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z) = \left((\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbb{T}_{J_0 I_0}(t, 1)) \right)^* v_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^* \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} t_i^{n-1}(j) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n \left(q^{\Lambda_{i+1}(l)} t_i(j)/z_l - q^{-\Lambda_{i+1}(l)} \right) \times \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} A(t_i(m), t_i(j)) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} \alpha(t_i(j), t_i(m)) \times \prod_{m=i+1}^{N+1} \prod_{p=1}^{\lambda_m} \beta(t_m(p), t_i(j)) \right)^{-1}$$

where I_0, J_0 are defined in (1.3.10).

(5.4.3) Lemma. *Let $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations (5.2.6). Then $\omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z)$ is an eigenvector of $K_m^*(z; 1)$ with eigenvalue $E_m(t, z)$ (5.2.5).*

The proof is completely similar to the proof of Theorem (5.2.7).

Let $\tau(t, z)$ be defined by (5.3.6) and $k = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i$. Set

$$(5.4.4) \quad D(t, z) = \det \left[t_i(j) t_l(m) \frac{\partial^2 \tau(t, z)}{\partial t_i(j) \partial t_l(m)} \right]_{k \times k}.$$

(5.4.5) Conjecture. *Let $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations (5.2.6). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z), \omega_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) \rangle &= (-1)^k (q - q^{-1})^{-k} D(t, z) \times \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^N q^{\lambda_i(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1})} \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} B(t_i(j), t_i(m)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} A(t_{i+1}(m), t_i(j)). \end{aligned}$$

This conjecture was proved for $N = 1$ in [K].

Let us assume that $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations (5.2.6) and $\omega_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ is a simple eigenvector of $K_m(z; 1)$ in the weight space $V_\lambda = (V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n))_\lambda$. Then we can apply all results of section (5.1) to this case after the appropriate change of notations. Namely $V, z_1, \dots, z_n$ and p there correspond to the weight space $V_\lambda, \ln z_1, \dots, \ln z_n$ and $\ln p$ here.

(5.5) qKZ -operators for $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ Case

The results of (5.2)–(5.4) have direct analogues for the $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ case.

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ highest weight modules with generating vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$, respectively. Let $R_{V(i), V(j)}$ be the R -matrices (3.7.1), (3.7.2) acting in $V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n)$ according to convention (1.1.11). For any $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define the qKZ -operator

$$(5.5.1) \quad K_m(z) = R_{V(m), V(m-1)}(z_m - z_{m-1}) \dots R_{V(m), V(1)}(z_m - z_1) \times \\ \times \exp(\alpha_m) L_{V(m)}(\mu) R_{V(n), V(m)}^{-1}(z_n - z_m) \dots R_{V(m+1), V(m)}^{-1}(z_{m+1} - z_m).$$

It is the operator in the right hand side of the qKZ (2.1.6) for $p = 0$. As for the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ case, we have

$$(5.5.2) \quad [K_i(z), K_j(z)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Let $w_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ be the weight function for the $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ case. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, j \in \{1, \dots, \lambda_i\}, m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define the functions $H_{ij}(t, z)$ and $E_m(t, z)$ by

(5.2.4) and (5.2.5), respectively, replacing their factors q^a by $\exp(a)$. Then the statement of Theorem (5.2.7) holds. The proof is completely similar to the proof for the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ case.

Let $F(x; p)$ be a function of x and p defined in a punctured neighborhood of the line $p = 0$. Define

$$(5.5.3) \quad D_x F(x) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x + p; p)}{F(x; p)}$$

if the limit exists. Say that x is a p -critical point of the function $F(x; p)$ if $D_x F(x) = 1$.

Example. Let $F(x; p) = \nu(x) \exp(\tau(x)/p)$ where $\nu(x)$ and $\tau(x)$ are smooth. Then $D_x F(x) = \exp(\tau'(x))$, and x is a p -critical point if $\exp(\tau'(x)) = 1$.

Consider the function $\Phi(t, z; p)$ introduced in (2.4.3) to solve the qKZ . Then the statements of Lemma (5.3.2) and Corollary (5.3.3) hold. Set

$$(5.5.4) \quad \Psi(x) = x \ln x$$

and

$$(5.5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau(t, z) = & \sum_{m=1}^n (\alpha_m + \langle \mu, \Lambda(m) \rangle) z_m + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i) t_i(j) + \\ & + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (\Psi(t_i(j) - z_m + \Lambda_i(m)) - \Psi(t_i(j) - z_m + \Lambda_{i+1}(m))) + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{a=1}^{\lambda_i} \sum_{b=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} (\Psi(t_{i+1}(b) - t_i(a) + 1) - \Psi(t_{i+1}(b) - t_i(a))) + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq \lambda_i} (\Psi(t_i(a) - t_i(b) - 1) - \Psi(t_i(a) - t_i(b) + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

(5.5.6) Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\frac{\partial \tau(t, z)}{\partial t_i(j)}\right) &= H_{ij}(t, z), \\ \exp\left(\frac{\partial \tau(t, z)}{\partial z_m}\right) &= E_m(t, z). \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = t(z)$ be a local solution to the Bethe-ansatz equations holomorphically depending on z . Then

$$(5.5.7) \quad \frac{\partial \tau(t(z), z)}{\partial t_i(j)} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} I_{ij}$$

where I_{ij} are integers independent of z . Set

$$(5.5.8) \quad \hat{\tau}(z) = \tau(t(z), z) - 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{ij} I_{ij} t_i(j),$$

$$\hat{E}_m(z) = E_m(t(z), z).$$

(5.5.9) Lemma.

$$\hat{E}_m(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\tau}(z)}{\partial z_m}\right).$$

(5.5.10) Corollary.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \ln \hat{E}_m(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \ln \hat{E}_j(z).$$

(Cf. Corollary (5.1.16).)

(5.5.11) Theorem. *If $p \rightarrow +0$ and all arguments of functions Ψ 's in (5.5.5) are positive, then there exist constants φ_0, φ_1 independent of t, z such that*

$$p \ln \Phi(t, z; p) = \varphi_0 \ln p + \varphi_1 + \tau(t, z) + O(p \ln p)$$

in the asymptotic sense.

The theorem follows from the Stirling formula.

(5.6) Asymptotic solutions to qKZ . $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ case

Let $V(1), \dots, V(n)$ be $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ highest weight modules with generating vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$, respectively. Then the dual spaces $V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)$ are right $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ lowest weight modules with generating vectors $v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*$, respectively, such that $\langle v_i^*, v_i \rangle = 1$. For any $m = 1, \dots, n$ define the qKZ -operator

$$(5.6.1) \quad K_m(z) = R_{V(m), V(m-1)}(z_m - z_{m-1} + p) \dots R_{V(m), V(1)}(z_m - z_1 + p) \times \\ \times \exp(\alpha_m) L_{V(m)}(\mu) R_{V(n), V(m)}^{-1}(z_n - z_m) \dots R_{V(m+1), V(m)}^{-1}(z_{m+1} - z_m).$$

It is the operator on the right hand side of the qKZ (2.1.6).

For any set $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ define a dual weight function $\omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z)$:

$$(5.6.2) \quad \omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z) = \left((\varphi_{z_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{z_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbb{T}_{J_0 I_0}(t, 0)) \right)^* v_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^* \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} t_i^{n-1}(j) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n (t_i(j) - z_l + \Lambda_{i+1}(l)) \times \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} A(t_i(m), t_i(j)) \right) \times \\ \times \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} \alpha(t_i(j), t_i(m)) \times \prod_{m=i+1}^{N+1} \prod_{p=1}^{\lambda_m} \beta(t_m(p), t_i(j)) \Big)^{-1}$$

where I_0, J_0 are defined in (1.3.10).

(5.6.3) Lemma. *Let $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations. Then $\omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z)$ is an eigenvector of $K_m^*(z; 0)$ with eigenvalue $E_m(t, z)$ (5.2.5).*

The proof is completely similar to the proof of Theorem (5.2.7).

Let $\tau(t, z)$ be defined by (5.5.5) and $k = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i$. Set

$$(5.6.4) \quad D(t, z) = \det \left[\frac{\partial^2 \tau(t, z)}{\partial t_i(j) \partial t_l(m)} \right]_{k \times k}.$$

(5.6.5) Conjecture. *Let $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \omega_{\lambda, V^*(1), \dots, V^*(n)}^*(t, z), \omega_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z) \rangle = (-1)^k D(t, z) \times \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(\lambda_i(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1})) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{j-1} B(t_i(j), t_i(m)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} A(t_{i+1}(m), t_i(j)). \end{aligned}$$

This conjecture was proved for $N = 1$ in [K] and for $N = 2$ and a special choice of $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ modules in [R1].

Let us assume that $\{t_i(j), i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe-ansatz equations and $\omega_{\lambda, V(1), \dots, V(n)}(t, z)$ is a simple eigenvector of operators $K_m(z; 1)$ in the weight space $V_\lambda = (V(1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(n))_\lambda$. Then we can apply all results of section (5.1) to this case taking $V = V_\lambda$.

REFERENCES

- [C] Cherednik I.V., *A new interpretation of Gelfand-Zeclin bases*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 2, 563–577.
- [D1] Drinfeld V.G., *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 254–258.
- [D2] Drinfeld V.G., *Quasi-Hopf algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), no. 6.
- [DF] Ding J. and Frenkel I.B., *Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **156** (1993), no. 2, 277–300.
- [FR] Frenkel I.B. and Reshetikhin N.Yu., *Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **146** (1992), 1–60.
- [IJ] Idzumi M., Iohara K., Jimbo M., Miwa T., Nakashima T. and Tokihiro T., *Quantum affine symmetry in vertex models*, Intern. J. Mod. Phys. **8** (1993), no. 8, 1479–1511.
- [IK] Izergin A.G. and Korepin V.E., *The quantum inverse scattering method approach to correlation functions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), 67–92.
- [J] Jimbo M., *Quantum R-matrix for the generalized Toda system*, Commun. Math. Phys. **102** (1986), 537–547.
- [JKMO] Jimbo M., Kuniba A., Miwa T. and Okado M., *The $A_n^{(1)}$ face models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **119** (1988), 543–565.

- [Koh] Kohno T., *Monodromy representations of braid groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **37** (1987), 139–160.
- [K] Korepin V.E., *Calculations of norms of Bethe wave function*, Commun. Math. Phys. **86** (1982), 391–418.
- [KR] Kulish P.P. and Reshetikhin N.Yu., *Diagonalization of $GL(N)$ invariant transfer-matrices and quantum N waves (Lee model)*, J. Phys. A **16** (1983), L591–L596.
- [KRS] Kulish P.P., Reshetikhin N.Yu. and Sklyanin E.K., *Yang-Baxter equation and representation theory*, Lett. Math. Phys. **5** (1981), 393–403.
- [KZ] Knizhnik V.G. and Zamolodchikov A.B., *Current algebra and Wess-Zumino models in two dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **247** (1984), 83–103.
- [M1] Matsuo A., *Jackson integrals of Jordan-Pochhammer type and quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **151** (1993), 263–273.
- [M2] Matsuo A., *Quantum algebra structure of certain Jackson integrals*, preprint (1992), 1–26.
- [R1] Reshetikhin N.Yu., *Calculation of Bethe vector norms for models with $SU(3)$ symmetry*, Zap. Nauch. Semin. LOMI **150** (1986), 196–213. (in Russian)
- [R2] Reshetikhin N.Yu., *Jackson type integrals, Bethe vectors, and solutions to a difference analog of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system*, Lett. Math. Phys. **26** (1992), 153–165.
- [RS] Reshetikhin N.Yu. and Semenov-Tian-Shansky M.A., *Central extensions of quantum current groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **19** (1990), 133–142.
- [S] Smirnov F.A., *Form factors in completely integrable models of quantum field theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [SV] Schechtman V.V. and Varchenko A.N., *Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology*, Invent Math. **106** (1991), 139–194.
- [V] Varchenko A.N., *Hypergeometric functions and the representation theory of Lie algebras and quantum groups*, preprint (1992), 1–401.
- [V2] Varchenko A.N., *Quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and difference equations for q -hypergeometric functions*, preprint (1993), 1–35.
- [Y] Yang C.N., *Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967), no. 23, 1312–1314.
- [YY] Yang C.N. and Yang C.P., *One dimensional chain of anisotropic spin-spin interaction I. Proof of Bethe’s hypothesis for ground state in a finite system*, Phys. Rev. **150** (1966), 321–327.

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT
ST. PETERSBURG UNIVERSITY
ST. PETERSBURG 198904, RUSSIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27599, USA